

()

3 2 1

250
 138 112
 t
 2008 2006

(IFAD,

.2011))

.(2010

1

d.hunaiti@ju.edu.jo
 2

3

.(2005)

.2011/12/21

2011/6/14

Absolute)
 : (Poverty
 %1.9
 2008
 57
 24.3
 %4.5
 2006
 %13
 2007
 2004
 .(1996)
 .%21
 32 2008
 2006 22)
 %25) ()
 %8.3¹ .(2006
 %44.2
) %40.8 .(Josh, P.D, 1997)
 .(2010
 1.27 2008
 0.19
 .(IFAD, 2011) %20.7
 4.2 7.6
)
 (2010
 2008 :
) %15 %27 ()
 %10.1 (2010)
 (2010) %24.4
 %15.5 2003
) %19.4 .(Fergany , 1994)
 .(2007
 :

(IFAD, 2011, M. Ahmed, and M.)			
Zakaria, 2004	1.4	%70	
:			
)			
(2006	%16.1	\$2	
		1998 %25.3 1988	
(M. Rihan %29		2008 %17.2	
and M. Nas , 2001)	%32.7		
(Bourguignon, F.,		1998 %30.7 1988	
2004, R. H. Adams, 2006)) .2008 %11.7	
%30 2006		(IFAD, 2011) (2007	
%77 %17			
(ILO, 2007) %10.4			
(IFAD, 2006, 2001)			
2004			
%27	%4		
:			
%12 2001			
.(FAO, 2005)			
(2009)			
%0.6		%57.3	
	%52.4		
%12.4	%31.4	%44.9	
		%11.4	
%60.3	%77.7		

12
 14 (\$17) %50 %86.8
 (\$24) 17 (\$20) %99.6 %89.9
 28 90 %63
 33 (\$39) %92 %98.4
 (\$56) 40 (\$46)

: :
)
 :

)
 (2006)
)
 (2007)
 (2007)

(Wilson, 1987) ...
 :
 (2006)
 (2008)
 (Smith and Stone, 1989) (2005)

%20 %25
 %10
 %25
 %38
 (Lewis, 1968) %72

.(2007)

(Smith and Stone, 1989)


(2006) :
Morcol (1997)
Feagin
(1972)
(2005) -
)
(
:
:
-1
)
(2006)
:
(
-2
()
(2006) -3

: :
 : (2001)

:
 : (2004)

%15 ()
 112 250
 138
 (2011)

.(Fergany, Nader, 1994)



:1
 .()

"Social Survey Research"

:
 :
 -3 -2 -1)
 -5 -4
 1.8 1 :
 2.61 2.6 1.81
 4.2 3.41 3.40
 4.2
 1
 ($\alpha \geq 0.05$)
 ($\chi^2=0.321$)
 60 %28.8 60 31 %50
 30 %20.8
 ($\chi^2=61.22$)
 ($\alpha \leq 0.01$)
 %76
 %52
 (Cronbach Alpha)
 ($\alpha \geq 0.05$)
 ($\chi^2=5.98$)
 %38 .%87 %82
 %48
 %41
 %26 SPPS® 19
 ($\chi^2=13.29$)
 ($\alpha \leq 0.01$)
 7
 %12.5 %25
 3
 %49 %28 t

:1

	%		%		%		
$\chi^2=0.321$	20.8	52	22.3	25	19.6	27	30
$\alpha =0.85$	50.4	126	50.0	56	50.7	70	60-31
	28.8	72	27.7	31	29.7	41	60
$\chi^2=61.22$	55.6	139	30.4	34	76.1	105	
	28.8	72	51.8	58	10.1	14	
$\alpha =0.00$	3.6	9	4.5	5	2.9	4	
	12.0	30	13.4	15	10.9	15	
$\chi^2=5.98$	42.4	106	48.2	54	37.7	52	
	34.0	85	25.9	29	40.6	56	
$\alpha =0.05$	23.6	59	25.9	29	21.7	30	
$\chi^2=13.29$	37.6	94	49.1	55	28.3	39	3
	42.8	107	38.4	43	46.4	64	6-4
$\alpha =0.001$	19.6	49	12.5	14	25.4	35	7

 $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$ $(\chi^2=5.506)$

:

2

 $(\chi^2=8.36)$ $(\alpha \geq 0.05)$

%62

%83

%70

 $(\chi^2=5.27)$ $(\chi^2=1.75)$

%79

%95.5

%91

%19.6

%14.5

: 2

	%		%		%	
$\chi^2=5.506$	24.0	60	17.0	19	29.7	41
$\alpha =0.019$	76.0	190	83.0	93	70.3	97
$\chi^2=8.36$	30.8	77	21.4	24	38.4	53

	%		%		%	
$\alpha = 0.004$	69.2	173	78.6	88	61.6	85
$\chi^2 = 1.75$	6.8	17	4.5	5	8.7	12
$\alpha = 0.186$	93.2	233	95.5	107	91.3	126
$\chi^2 = 5.27$	66.4	166	58.9	66	72.5	100
	16.8	42	21.4	24	13.0	18
$\alpha = 0.072$	16.8	42	19.6	22	14.5	20

3245 (2006) :
2705

2005 (2005)
2257 2529.6
%12 (2006)
%9

2058
($\alpha \leq 0.01$) (t=3.798)

10.8
11.7 (2010)
($\alpha \geq 0.05$) 59% 6166
(t=-0.242) (t=1.705)
($\alpha \geq 0.05$) 67%

14.3) 3876
17.9 %35 (2010)
%47

(t=1.554) ($\alpha \geq 0.05$)
($\alpha \leq 0.01$)
(t=2.715)

34.4 27
(t=2.078) ($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

...

) 2006	2008	%8.2	25.3	
		(2010		.	20.6
		%14 %15)	(3	
		(%11)			(%55)
					%2.6
	(/)				:3

	t				()	:
0.000	3.798	4.155	129.42	%55		
		4.841	105.31	%55		
0.007	2.715	2.031	34.42	%15		
		1.727	26.98	%14		
0.809	0.242-	2.301	10.76	%5		
		2.347	11.56	%6		
0.090	1.705	1.703	17.86	%8		
		1.022	14.28	%7		
0.121	1.554	2.361	18.31	%8		
		2.057	13.32	%7		
0.039	2.078	1.661	25.33	%11		
		1.519	20.55	%11		
0.000	3.732	84.05	210.8			
		81.34	171.5			
0.000	3.569	8.301	270.40			
		9.554	225.40			

	%26.4		:	
:		Exploratory		Factor Analysis
				12
:				54.2
		4	:	

%14.6

: (4)

Feagin, 1972, Smith, and)

(Stone, 1989, Feather, 1974, Furnham, 1982

(1997) Morcol

- (2005) (2005)

%13.2

-

:

() : 4

	0.546	0.729	
26.419	0.663	0.746	()
	0.472	0.632	
	0.626	0.767	
	0.622	0.608	
14.575	0.606	0.753	
	0.636	0.78	
	0.446	0.526	
	0.279	0.368	
13.245	0.566	0.75	()
	0.653	0.71	
	0.117	0.41	

()

...

3.46

0.06

.0.07

()

: 5

t				
0.756	0.311-	0.108	3.07	
		0.110	3.12	
0.180	1.346-	0.110	2.88	
		0.122	3.10	
0.578	0.557-	0.103	3.78	
		0.108	3.87	
0.324	0.987	0.112	3.56	
		0.124	3.39	
0.76	0.29-	0.064	3.43	()
		0.073	3.46	

3.12

0.07

.0.077

6

3.16

: 6

t				
0.480	0.708-	0.109	2.61	
		0.116	2.71	
0.878	0.153	0.108	3.19	

t			
		0.117	2.21
0.791	0.265	0.114	3.35
		0.121	3.30
0.227	1.212	0.104	2.91
		0.119	2.71
0.599	0.526	1.039	3.79
		0.965	3.72
0.66	0.43	0.0718	3.16
		0.0768	3.12

0.06 2.63
 .0065 2.58 ()

6

:7

t			
0.074	1.793	0.096	2.33
		0.087	2.09
0.418	0.812-	0.119	3.31
		0.114	3.45
0.804	0.249	0.092	3.72
		0.106	2.25
0.64	0.46	0.064	2.63
		0.065	2.58

()

(1972 Feagin)

:

:

.(2005)

%83

%70

.(IFAD, 2011,)

M. Ahmed, and M. Zakaria, 2004

(2010 ()

) %27

(ILO, 2007)

%17

:

(%44)

(2006)

M. Rihan and) M. Nas , 2001, Bourguignon, F.,

(2004, R. H. Adams, 2006

%77.7

()


) 2008 2006

(IFAD, 2011)

(2010

:

(Lewis, 1968)



() : (2009)

: (32) .
 .44-25 104-31 :
 .(2007) . (2004)
 .15-1 (1)15 3 55 -
 .(2007) . .244-131 .(2006) .
 .(.46-32 (52)2 (3)3 .
 .(2007) . .333-311
 .349-332 (52)2 .(2004) .
 .(2007) .
 EB). .(2006) . 44-9 1 .(2006) .
 . (R/88/2006 :
 .478-468 .426-415 (2)4
 .(2001) .(2006) .
 .10 .(2007) . .14-1 (57)2 .
 : .84-70 .(2008) .
 .(2005) . (59)2 -
 (1)20 .19-7 .(2005) . .9-1
 .(2005) . :
) . :
 (2)7 (.24-8 -161 (17)2 (2) -
 .(1996) . .(2006) .205
 .376
 .(2010) .() 3 3
 : .331-311
 .7-4 .(2006) .
 .(2010) .() :
 : .2009 .426-415
 .(2011) . .(2005) .

- Bourguignon, F. (2004). *The Poverty-Growth-Inequality Triangle*. NY: World Bank, pp 1-11
- FAO. (2005). *Breaking Ground: Present and Future Perspectives for Women in Agriculture (chapter on the Near East)*. Rome: FAO. P65, p69
- Feagin J. R. (1972). Poverty: We still believe that God helps who help themselves. *Psychology Today*, p6.
- Fergany, Nader. (1994). Quality of life Indices for Arab countries in an International context. *International Statistical review*, p 2.
- IFAD. (2001). *Country Strategic Opportunities Paper (COSOP): Djibouti*. Rome: IFAD. pp23-43
- IFAD. (2006). *Draft Country Strategic Opportunities Paper (COSOP. Syria: IFAD*, p25.
- IFAD. (2011). *Rural Poverty Report 2011 (RPR 2011)* : IFAD, Rome. pp1-11
- ILO. (2007). *Global Employment Trends Model, as reported in ILO Global Employment Trends for Women*. Brief March, 34-75
- International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). (2011). *Rural Poverty Report*. Rome: IFAD. p1-11
- Josh, P.D. (1997). Conceptual Measurement and Dimensional Aspects of Poverty in India. *Seminar on Poverty Statistics*.
- Jr R. H. Adams .(2006) .*Economic Growth, Inequality and Poverty: Estimating the Growth Elasticity of Poverty* . World Bank. pp 601–610
- Lewis, O. (1968). *The culture of poverty, in Daniel P. Moynihan (ed), on understanding poverty*. New York: Basic Books.
- M. Ahmed, and M. Zakaria. (2004). *Socio-economic situations of female-headed households in rural Egypt*. Rome: FAO. pp. 44
- M. Rihan and M. Nas . (2001). *Prospects for Land Reform and Civil Society Movements in the Near East and North Africa, Whose Land? Civil Society Perspectives on Land Reform and Rural Poverty Reduction*. United Nations Research Institute for Social Developm.
- Morcol, G. (1997). Lay Explanation for poverty in Turkey and their determinants. *the Journal of Social Psychology*, 6(137). pp 728-738.
- Smith, B. Stone, L. (1989). Rags, Riches, and Bootstraps: Beliefs about the Causes of Wealth and Poverty. (B. S. Smith, Ed.) *Sociological Quarterly*, 1(30). pp 93-107.
- Wilson, W. J. (1987). *the Truly Disadvantage. Chicago: the University of Chicago Press*. pp 263-555.

Determinants of Poverty in Rural Areas of Jordan and its Relationship with the Gender of Household head: A Field Study of the National Aid Fund beneficiaries in the District of the southern Jordan Valley

Doukhi Abdul Rahim Hunaiti¹, Faiz Abdel-Qader Al-Majali², and Wafaa Mustafa Tarawneh³

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at identifying the demographic, social and economic, determinants of poverty from the viewpoint of the poor families in the southern Jordan Valley. To achieve these goals; a stratified and systematically-random sample was drawn with 250 families benefiting the National Aid Fund. This sample consists of 112 male head families and 138 male heads families. Data were gathered by a closed questionnaire and the interview. Methods of descriptive statistics, Chi-Square Analysis and the independent t test were used.

The study found out that despite the decreasing rate of poverty in Jordan between 2006 and 2008, the rate of poverty in Jordan in geranial and in the southern Jordan Valley in particular increases. The study revealed that the phenomenon of poverty is much evident in the households headed by women. Also, it was found out that there certain properties attributed to families headed by women

Keywords: poverty, rural Jordan, determinants of poverty, the causes of poverty.

¹)University of Jordan.

²)Mutah Univeresity.

³) PhD Student of Mutah Univeresity.

Received on 14/6/2011 and Accepted for Publication on 21/12/2011.