

**(*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)**

1 1

(*Gossypium hirsutum* L)

R.C.B.D

( 75) ( 75) ( 60)

/

:

(2003 )

92000 43000  
(2007 ) (2002 )

(1987 )

.2011/10/26

2009/11/21

43.7 12.5  
 27.1 1.1  
 )  
 ( (50)  
 ( ppm )  
 278 2007  
 46.2 12.4 (2003 )  
 29.4 1.8  
 . 36 . 46  
 16 .2000  
 75 60)  
 ( (Furrows)  
 / 66666 53333  
 ) (2007 )  
 ( Ul-Hassan)  
 ( /N 108,216,324 ) .(2003  
 .(N 46)  
 (R.C.B.D) (2008 Oosterhuis)  
 .(2006 Javed Khan )  
 ( *Gossypium hirsutum* L.)  
 .( 2) ( 1)  
 -27 ) 2007  
 2007-4-29 2007-4 ( 65  
 ( 5) ( 4) (30)  
 . 25 2007 ( ppm)  
 232

(  
 113 ) :T<sub>2</sub> / 120 ( P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>%46)  
 ( )  
 30 ) :T<sub>1</sub>  
 ( )  
 : ( <sup>2</sup> ) / - 4

(Watson 1958 )

$$\text{مساحة الأوراق} = \frac{\text{الوزن الجاف لكل الأوراق}}{\text{الوزن الجاف للأقراص}} \times \text{مساحة الأقراص}$$

- 5 :  
 - 1 : ( )  
 - 2 : ( / )  
 - 6 : ( <sup>2</sup> / )  
 )  
 ( 1989 ) ( 1987 )

$$\text{الكثافة النوعية للأوراق} = \frac{\text{الوزن الجاف للأوراق}}{\text{مساحة الأوراق}} \text{ ملغم/سم}^2$$

72 70 /  
 (1998 Balgoan )  
 - 7 : ( / ) (R.G.R) / / - 3  
 :Stem relative growth rate  
 (1987 )

72 70  
 /  
 (1998 Balgoan )  
 : ( ) - 8

(Hunt, 1982)

$$R.G.R = \frac{\text{Loge } W_2 - \text{Loge } W_1}{T_2 - T_1}$$

( Hunt, 1982)

) :W<sub>2</sub>

(

) :W<sub>1</sub>

$$100 \times \frac{\text{الحاصل الجاف للأوراق}}{\text{مجموع الحاصل الجاف للأوراق والسيقان والجوز}} = \text{النسبة المئوية للأوراق}$$

30 ) : T<sub>1</sub> (R.G.R) / / -9  
 ( ) : A<sub>2</sub> ( Hunt, 1982) :Leafs Relative growth rate  
 ) : A<sub>1</sub>  
 ( )  
 . / -12  
 . ( ) -13  
 . ( ) -14 ) W<sub>2</sub>  
 : ( / ) -15 ) W<sub>1</sub>  
 . )  
 Split-Split RCBD 113 ) T<sub>2</sub>  
 .(2000 ) .Plot Design 30 ) T<sub>1</sub>  
 : (C.G.R) /<sup>2</sup> / ( - 10  
 (1955 Duncan)  
 (2 1) (Hunt ,1982)  
 C.G.R= N.A.R×L.A.I  
 N.A.R  
 L.A.I  
 : (N.A.R) ( /<sup>2</sup> / ) -11  
 ( / / ) ( / ) Hunt )  
 ( ,1982  
 ( / / ) N.A.R =  $\frac{(W_2-W_1) (\text{Loge } A_2- \text{Loge } A_1)}{(T_2-T_1)(A_2-A_1)}$   
 ( / ) : W<sub>2</sub>  
 ( / ) ( : W<sub>1</sub>  
 (%) )  
 . 113 ) : T<sub>2</sub>  
 (

(3)

( )

(%) ( / / )

(3) .(1984 ) ( / ) ( / )

( / / )

( 60) ( / ) ( / )

( 75) (3.76)

(3.12)

Jost ( 1997) Wells Jones

.( 2001) Cothren

( / )

(3) ( / )

(3)

( 60) ( / 4787.2 3992.8)

3239.0 ) ( 75) ( / 1745.4) 60

( / 3737.7 ( / 2674.0)

( 75)

75) ( 60) / 2096.7 / 1493.9

(

:

(4) ( / )

" "

( . . ) ( / )

(2 ) ( / )

/

( 7094.3 5575.0)

( 75 60)

. (1990)

( . . )  
 (5) ( 3.64 / 1736.99 . . 0.0639)  
 / 1502.40 . . 0.0617 )  
 (3.24  
 / 324  
 ) ( . . 0.0655)  
 .( . . 0.0584) ( /  
 / 73.53 / 19.00)  
 .(5) ( / 69.07 / 18.26) ( )  
 ( / )  
 (5)  
 / 324 :  
 ( / 1900.9) (5)  
 .( / 1167.0) ( )  
 (5)  
 / 216  
 ( ) ( / 2867) ( )  
 ( / 1440) (5)  
 (5)  
 Sawan / 216  
 . (2007) ( 117.58 102.13)  
 (2 ) ( )  
 (5) ( 94.33 84.33)  
 (² 6649.7) / 216  
 (² 4242.2) Taiz) Tryptophan  
 324 IAA  
 (² 7381) / .(2004) James (2002 Zeiger  
 (² 5003)

	( / )		Bondada		
					.(1996)
	.(5)			(5)	
	/ 324			/ 324	
3634.9	/ 4019.9 )			(4.24 4 )	
)		( /			
2352.9	/ 2552.8)	(	( 2.5)	(2.90)	
		/			
			.(5)		
Ibrahim	(5)		( )		
	.(2010 )		(5)		
( . . )					
(5)			/ 324		
			(%34.88)	( 40.3)	
324			(	)	
	/			(%25.66)	( 35.00)
( . . 0.0601)	( . . 0.0625)				
( )					
( . . 0.0541)	( . . 0.0570)		.(5)		
			( <sup>2</sup> / )		
			(5)		
.(5)					
( . <sup>2</sup> . )			( <sup>2</sup> / 9.11)	/ 324	
(5)					
					( <sup>2</sup> / 7.95)
	( . <sup>2</sup> . 0.006291)				
	( . <sup>2</sup> . 0.00845)			(5)	
( )	/ 216				
( . <sup>2</sup> . 0.00361)				.(2005)	Tewold

( .<sup>2</sup> . 0.00499)

/ 216 (4.08)  
324 (4.15)

/ 324 .(5)  
(3.30 3.28) ( 4.1 ) ( .<sup>2</sup> . )  
(5)

( .<sup>2</sup> . 0.001666) / 216  
/ 324

.(1989 ) ( .<sup>2</sup> . 0.00210)  
( ) ( )  
(5) ( .<sup>2</sup> . 0.001425)  
( .<sup>2</sup> . 0.00183)

( / 80.99 72.53)  
/ 216 .(5)

( / 51.38 43.53) (5)

.(5)  
( / ) 216 (20.80 18.05)  
(5) /  
(15.65 13.45)

( / 5119.7 4347.3)  
/ 216 (5)

( / 3096.5 2636.8)  
( )  
(5)  
. (5)



(1)

( / )	( / )	( )	/	( / / )	( / / )	( . . )	( / )	( / / )	( )	( )	( )	( / )	( / / )	( )		
191778	14.22	0.0868	1.156	3.6568	0.0000082	0.00000525	835376	7.14	75.35	0.08312	6358	126310	0.00000577	19.9	2	
**6819176	79.75	0.03000	1.960	1.7633	0.00000645	0.00002002	**340578	8.33	8.16	* 4.8768	* 21378	** 758976	0.00001633	151.9	1	A
170824	51.05	0.01206	4.703	0.1302	0.0000129	0.00001158	551493	7.64	61.83	0.10937	188567	15238	0.00000715	61.8	2	A
2002	2.04	0.0075	0.200	3.4133	0.00000140	0.0000226	210940	8.33	0.0208	* 1.8802	2451196	** 660305	** 0.00006075	3.30	1	B
497354	122.7	0.0008	10.360	1.4008	0.0000091	0.0000035	731120	2.08	2.253	0.01687	172200	* 228555	* 0.00001875	73.50	1	A *B
137642	48.02	0.05041	1.522	2.1452	0.00000036	0.00001692	389791	5.14	21.18	0.1991	850509	15528	0.00000250	22.7	4	A*B
**6482451	**1867.4	**1.7200	*43.721	0.5691	*0.00001885	**0.000791	**5538313	1.67	* 30.59	** 5.6874	**14372027	** 1426743	**0.00013139	* 801.7	3	C
52995	0.423	0.0055	0.0679	2.975	0.00000133	0.00002641	*632173	1.15	21.53	** 1.0813	** 3157442	102483	**0.00002683	2.96	3	A *C
95452	28.21	0.03638	1.447	0.07494	0.00000019	0.0000339	171765	4.02	96.27	0.3035	463187	169850	0.00000981	19.32	3	B *C
29560	12.65	0.07416	2.410	0.01580	0.00000086	0.0000048	136998	6.128	33.85	0.3046	629043	127468	0.00000158	131.52	3	A *B *C
86191	23.2	0.09402	2.434	1.2952	0.00000183	0.0000155	204500	6.38	49.47	0.01388	298909	84880	0.00000567	45.51	24	A*B*C

5

\* . 1

\*\*

(2)

( / )	( / )	( )	/	( / / )	( / / )	( . . )	( / )	( / / )	( )	( )	( )	( / )	( / / )	( )		
161525	12.87	0.136	0.116	2.139	0.00000667	0.00000258	1417766	3.62	3.36	0.637	2044939	432253	0.00019117	154	2	
**1321740	22.91	0.075	0.130	1.408	0.00000068	**0.00005002	45942	* 6.091	* 44.46	0.0008	**27702004	**3998033	0.000005941	1.33	1	A
27558	2.56	0.0089	0.232	8.770	0.00000115	0.00000058	263793	2.55	15.57	0.090	236878	32994	0.00007507	84.77	2	A
491670	**239.1	0.1518	**6.526	1.333	0.00000760	0.00000002	285979	0.63	14.96	0.27	1671413	86106	0.00006394	30.08	1	B
630208	**300.7	*0.285	2.566	7.5	0.00000295	0.00000002	454546	0.175	28.52	0.52	173090	261222	0.00006864	14.08	1	A *B
82571	11.12	0.00241	2.352	5.416	0.00000088	0.00000708	172007	5.853	6.44	0.104	278628	119470	0.00010030	26.58	4	A*B
**9568352	**2806	**1.831	** 188.205	* 2.25	**0.00002518	**0.00005319	**4103376	2.959	7.80	** 3.885	**11660638	**5306400	0.00011410	**1543.50	3	C
122405	52.53	0.0613	6.102	7.91	0.0000307	0.00000769	**16888981	0.289	* 36.76	0.763	3132728	473032	0.0000626	22.16	3	A *C
98244	23.29	0.0890	10.178	* 2.72	0.00000226	0.00000824	206349	2.160	* 33.02	0.366	895963	104177	0.000681	41.13	3	B *C
14675	6.63	0.0168	0.172	5.80	0.00000094	0.00001558	1476	0.587	3.64	0.336	957017	35457	0.0000721	15.80	3	A *B *C
66199	20.23	0.0518	29.518	7.33	0.00000132	0.00001053	341533	1.168	10.39	0.484	1335328	134527	0.00007204	28.38	24	A*B*C

5

\* . 1

\*\*

(3)

( / )	( / )	( )	/	( <sup>2</sup> / )	( <sup>2</sup> / )	( / / )	( / )	( <sup>2</sup> / )	( )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( / )	( / / )	( )	( )	
3992.8	59.65	3.77	15.69	0.00145	0.00552	0.0599	3715.5	9.79	37.07	3.76	5774.7	1745.4	0.0622	93.28	60
3239.0	60.70	3.82	16.10	0.00153	0.00479	0.0612	3182.8	10.17	36.24	3.12	5816.9	1493.9	0.0634	96.83	75
4787.2	70.78	3.79	18.57	0.00250	0.00706	0.0573	3207.2	8.31	27.54	3.72	5575.0	2674.00	0.0614	105.5	60
3737.7	71.82	3.87	18.68	0.00312	0.00682	0.0594	3145.3	9.02	25.82	3.71	7094.3	2096.7	0.0636	107.8	75

5

(4)

( / )	( / )	( )	/	( / <sup>2</sup> / )	( / <sup>2</sup> / )	( / / )	( / )	( <sup>2</sup> / )	( )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( / )	( / / )	( )	( )
3609.5	59.07	3.78	15.83	0.001495	0.00532	0.0612	3515.5	10.25	38.67	3.64	6021.8	1736.99	0.0639	97.82
3622.4	60.40	3.81	15.96	0.001487	0.00498	0.0598	3382.9	9.254	35.36	3.24	5569.8	1502.4	0.0617	94.79
2427.7	69.07	3.77	18.26	0.0636	108.87	0.0584	3253.5	8.78	27.35	3.79	6521.3	2427.7	0.0636	108.87
2343.0	73.53	3.88	19.00	0.0613	105.45	0.0580	3099.1	8.55	25.23	3.64	6148.1	2343.0	0.0613	105.45

5

(5)

( / )	( / )	( )	/	( / <sup>2</sup> / )	( / <sup>2</sup> / )	( / / )	( / )	( <sup>2</sup> / )	( )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( / )	( / / )	( )	/N	
2636.8	43.53	3.28	13.45	0.001425	0.003616	0.0570	2552.8	9.75	35.00	2.50	4242.2	1167.0	0.0584	84.33	
3520.2	58.65	3.75	15.68	0.001416	0.004666	0.0601	3293.8	9.85	37.79	3.36	5825.2	1535.3	0.0618	93.08	108
4347.3	72.53	4.08	18.05	0.001666	0.006291	0.0624	3930.1	10.23	38.80	3.90	6649.7	1875.7	0.0654	102.13	216
3995.4	66.07	4.08	16.40	0.001458	0.006058	0.0625	4019.9	10.10	40.03	4.00	6649.1	1900.9	0.0655	100.67	324
3096.5	51.38	3.30	15.65	0.00183	0.00499	0.0541	2352.9	7.95	25.66	2.90	5003	1440	0.0590	94.33	
4063.2	67.50	3.75	18.08	0.00186	0.00692	0.0586	3172.5	8.79	27.53	3.82	6420	2400	0.0640	102.16	108
5119.7	85.32	4.10	20.80	0.00205	0.00740	0.0591	3535.8	8.80	32.15	3.89	6533	2867	0.0660	117.58	216
4770.1	80.99	4.15	19.97	0.00210	0.00845	0.0601	3643.9	9.11	34.88	4.24	7381	2833	0.0609	116.58	324

5

- (1987)
- (1984)
- ( ) (2003 )
- (1990)
- ( ) (2002)
- (2007 )
- (2000)
- (1987)
- (1989 )
- Balgoan, R.O ; R.J.Jones and H.G.Holmes (1998) Digestibility of some tropical browse species varying in tannin content. *Animal feed Sci.Technol*; 76 (1-2) : 77 - 88.
- Bondada, B.R ; D.M.Oosterhuis ;R.J.Norman and W.H.Baker(1996 ) Canopy photosynthesis growth yield and boll N<sup>15</sup> accumulation under nitrogen stress in cotton . *crop sci* . 36 : 127 – 133 .
- Duncan, D.B (1955) Multiple range and multiple "F" teste *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
- Hunt, R. (1982) *Plant growth curves : the functional approach to plant growth analysis*. London , Edward Arnold. PP : 248.
- Ibrahim, Mohamed A. S. Kamal E. Ahmed, Siraj Osman, El tahir S. Ali and Azhari A. Hamada.(2010). Response Of New Cotton Varieties To Nitrogen Fertilization In Sudan Gezira. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* Vol. 5(11), pp. 1213-1219.
- James ,J.D.L.Wright. and P.Vargas ( 2004) Effect of row width and nitrogen on cotton morphology and canopy microclimate. *Crop sci* 44 : 870 – 870
- Jones, M.A.and R.wells (1997) Dry matter allocation and fruiting patterns of cotton grown at two divergent plant . *Crop sci* . 37 : 797 – 802 .
- Jost, P.H and J.Tom Cothren (2001) phenotypic alteration and crop maturity differences in ultra-narrow row and conventionally spaced cotton. *Crop sci* 41 : 1150 - 1159.
- Khan ,Muhammad Basilar and Javed shabbir Dar (2006) Response of cotton ( *Gossypium hirsutum* L. ) cultivars to different Levels of nitrogen . *J.res .sci* .17 (4) : 257-261 .
- Oosterhuis D.M, A. A. Okuba, and M. M. Mozaffavi (2008). Effect of soil-applied nitrogen fertilizer rate on the nitrogen content of cotton flowers. *AAES research series* 558:43-45.
- Sawan, Z.M ; M.H.Mahmoud and O.A.Momtaz (2007) Influence of nitrogen fertilization and foliar application of plant growth retardants and zinc on quantitative and qualitative properties of egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L)Var.Giz 75 . *American society of Agronomy.J.Agric food chem* 45 , 3331 – 3336 .

---

Taiz , L and E. Zeiger (2002) . Plant Physiology .  
Publisher: Sinauer Associates. Third Edition .  
pp:690.

Tewolde, H. ; K.R.Sistani ; D. E. Rowe ; A. Adeli and  
T. Tsegaye(2005) Estimating cotton leaf area  
index nondestructively with a light sensor Agron .  
J. 97 : 1158 – 1163.

Ul-Hassan, M; T. Mohmood ; M. Iqbai and A. Nasir  
(2003) Cotton response to Split application of  
nitrogen fertilizer. Asian journal of plant science.  
2(6) 447-460.

Watson, D.T.(1958) the dependence of net  
assimilation rate of area index. Ann.Bot . 22 : 37 –  
54.

## Response of Cotton Growth and Yield to Planting Space, Levels and Partition of Nitrogen Fertilizer Application .

*Saleh M.Ibraheem<sup>1</sup> and Amjad T.Khalil<sup>1</sup>*

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in two Locations to investigate the response of Upland cotton (*Gossypium hisutum L*) cultivar (Lachata) growth and yield to planting space, partition and levels of nitrogen fertilizer application. The experiment was carried out in. R.C.B.D using split-split plot with three replications. The experiment included two planting spaces ( 60 and 75cm) between two partitions of nitrogen fertilizer application ( a- half quantity applied at thinning and second half at blooming, and b- one third quantity applied at thinning and one thirds at blooming) and four levels of nitrogen fertilizers ( 0 , 108 , 216, and 324 kg N/ ha). The results indicate that the planting spacing 60 cm was significantly increased stem dry matter and total cotton in both location leaf surface area index in Tellafar locations, while planting space 75cm was significantly increased leaf area and relative growth rate of leaves in Rahmánya locations. Concerning partition on nitrogen, the first partition was significantly for stem dry matter, relative growth rate of stem and leaf area index in Tellafar location ,and second partition for number of boll per plant and total plant yield in Rahmánya location. Significant differences were observed between the applied nitrogen levels at all investigated traits in both locations except for specific density of leaves in Tellafar location and relative growth rate of stem in Al-Rahmánya location.

**Keywords:** Planting Space, Partition of Nitrogen Fertilizer Application, Levels of Nitrogen Fertilizers, Cotton, Relative Growth Rate.

<sup>1)</sup>College of Agric.and Forestry, University of Mosul.

Received on 21/11/2009 and Accepted for Publication on 26/10/2011.