

:

\*\* \*

.2007

)

.(

"

"

:

)

.(2008

-

-

-

-

" "

1

"

"

2

1

1980 %70

%13

2004 %83

- 24

-

.(2010

)

5000

2

\*

\*\*

2004

.2012/10/23

2011/7/1

2013 ©

)  
(  
"  
"  
(2002)  
)"  
:  
.1  
-  
.2  
(2008)  
):  
:" ( )  
(  
"  
"  
(1997)  
"1990  
:  
1990  
"  
"

---

)  
( ) ( (Kathryn, 2000)  
(2010 ) "

) (2001

(Daniele, 2002)  
( )

(1999 )  
"

(Susan, 2003)

" :  
"1999-1991

(1996 )

Rob, et, al, )

" (2008

(Jiajan, et.al, 2010)

"

16-40



(1):

. ( )				
3.99	10426	20.73		1
5.20	10426	5.80		2
2.26	10426	3.96		3
1.61	8425	4.1		4
1.44	10426	1.91		5

"2007  
 " Demographic Health Survey " (DHS)  
 (10876) ( 49-15)  
 %98  
 ( - )  
 .(2008 )

(1)

:  
 (20.7)  
 .2007  
 (5.8)  
 - : 1:1  
 -  
 3 (3.96)  
 (4.1) (2 1 )  
 .(1.91)  
 (2)  
 3  
 2002 1983  
 2009 3.8 2002  
 .(2009 ) 2002 3.7

(%67.1)  
 .(%32.9)

.( )		( 2 )	
			1
67.1	7001		
32.9	3425		
100.0	10426		
			2
5.0	525	-1	
7.2	749	-2	
59.2	6174	-3	
28.6	2978	-4	
100.0	10426		
			3
2.7	282	-1	
12.4	1291	-2	
63.5	6623	-3	
21.3	2225	-4	
0.1	5	-5	
100.0	10426		
			4
87.0	9068	-1	
13.0	1358	-2	
			5
57.3	5972	-1	
42.7	4454	-2	
		( )	6
95.2	9829	-1	
4.8	492	-2	
100.0	10321		
			7
15.3	964		

3.4	213		
81.2	5116		
0.1	7		
100.0	6300		
			8
99.1	10337	-1	
0.4	44	-2	
0.4	37	-3	
0.1	8	-4	
100.0	10426		
			9
9.5	990	-1	
90.5	9436	-2	
100.0	10426		

%9.5

%2.7  
(%5.0)

(%81.2)

( )

%21.3  
%28.6

Cross

1 :2:  
:tabulation

( )

"

"

(%13.0)

( %42.7)

(%4.8)



(3)

			1
9.0	3.1	-1	
9.5	6.1	-2	
56.1	60.7	-3	
25.4	30.1	-4	
100.0	100.0		
			2
4.6	1.8		
13.3	11.9	-	
66.5	62.1	-	
15.7	24.1		
0.0	0.1	-	
100.0	100.0		
			3
86.8	87.1	-1	
13.2	12.9	-2	
100.0	100.0		
			4
50.7	60.5	-1	
49.3	39.5	-2	
100.0	100.0		
			5
92.7	96.5	-1	
7.3	3.5	-2	
100.0	100.0		
			6
14.6	15.6	-1	
2.6	3.7	-2	
82.7	80.6	-3	
0.2	0.1	-4	
100.0	100.0		

			7
54.9	63.2	-1	
45.1	36.8	-2	
100.0	100.0		
			8
99.0	99.1	-1	
0.6	0.3	-2	
0.3	0.5	-3	
0.1	0.1	-4	
100.0	100.0		
			9
89.9	90.8	-1	
10.9	9.2	-2	
100.0	100.0		

(3)

)

(%18.5) (%9.2) .(2001

(3) ) ( ) ( ) (%30.1)

(%13.2)

.(2008 )

(%12.9)

( ) (%15.7) (%24.1)

)

(2002

%39.5      %49.3

" "

-

.(1997 )

-

)

(2007

(%4.8)

(%3.5)

(%7.3)

(%3.8)

)

(

(2007 )

.(1986 )

"

( )

(3 )

" "

(1999 )

(%0.5) (%0.3)

-

-

" "

) "

(3) (2010

(%82.7)

(% 80.6)

" "

(2010) ) (3)

) :

( (%63.2)

( %54.9)

(%0.2)

(0.1)

**:2:2**

(Comparative Mean's)

- (%3.7)

:

" )

" (

(%15.6)

\*( )

(4)

20.7	20.6	20.8		1
5.8	5.9	5.7		2
3.96	4.15	3.87		3
4.1	4.2	4.0		4
1.913	2.02	1.86		5

\*

5.7

5.9

(4 )

(20.6)

(20.8)

(0.2)

:

(2001 )

)

.(1999

.(2009

)

(4)

(3.87)

(4.15)

(0.16)

.(2007 ) "

.(1994 )

:1 :3 : (4.2)

(4.0)

Logistic ) "

(Regression

- ) )

( (2002

(4 )

(1.86) (2.02)

( 5 )

sig	Wald	B		
0.000	17.72	0.231		1
0.002	9.35	0.167		2
0.014	5.99	0.230		3
0.000	59.1	0.492-		4
0.034	4.51	0.317-		5
0.012	3.87	0.020-		
0.009	6.99	0.114		6
0.000	33.3	0.387		7

sig	Wald	B		
0.050	3.65	0.221		8
0.006	7.55	0.025-		9
*0.331	0.944	0.007-		10
*0.22	5.21	0.048		12
*0.263	1.255	0.022		13
*0.614	0.255	0.015		14

. % 0.5

\*

(5 )

" ( )

:"

" "

(0.23)

" (0.230)

)  
.(

(5 )

" (0.167)

-

-

" (-0.492)

"

- " " "

-

)

.(2008

" "

)

(0.020-) ( ...

" )

" (1996

)

( ...

"

"

(2001 )

.(2007 )

" "

(5)

(0.317-)

" (1996 )

"

)

(2009



-

.(1997 )

) (5 )

(

)

.(2009

(5)

( 0.025-)

(2007 )

:

"

"

(1996 )

.(2001 )

.(2009 ) (0.387)

( 1994 ) .

)  
(0.114) (

( 2010 ) .

:

-  
)  
(

)

(2008

.( SPSS)

:

:

" "

)

(

" " (2009 )

( ) 26

" (1994)

" (2009)

" (1999)

	:	" (2007)		-	" (2001)
	:	" (2010)		1 28	" (1994)
	-	2 2	:	6 9	" (1986)
	-	3 14	:		" (2001)
	:	" (2009)		( ) 28	" (1996)
	2	" (2000)	2		" (1997)
		1 28		3 11	" (2001)
		" (1997)			" (2001)
	2 24	"1990		29	"1999
		"(2002)			4
		" (2001)			" (2009)
		" (2001)			" (2008)
	-	( ) 28			"2007
					" (2010)
					"2009

Daniele. B (2002) "Son Preference in a Rural Village in North Vietnam" Studies in Family Planning. Vol. 33, N0.4.

Jiajian. C & Hongyan. L & Zhenming X (2010) "Effects of Rural-Urban Return Migration on Women's Family Planning and Reproductive Health Attitudes and Behavior in Rural China" Studies in Family Planning. Vol.41 N0.1.

Kathryn. M, Ray.L & Kenneth. H (2000) "The Effect of

Gender Preference on Contraceptive use and fertility in Rural Egypt" Studies in Family Planning. Vol.31, N0.4.

Rob. S, Michael. A Raijb. A & Tarun. K (2008) "Domestic Violence, Contraceptive use, and Unwanted Pregnancy in Rural India" Studies in Family Planning. Vol.39, N0.3.

Susan. C (2003) "Determinants of Contraceptive Method Choice In Rural Tanzania Between 1991 and 1999" Studies in Family Planning. Vol.34, N0.4

---

## The Urban and Rural Disparities and Its Demographic Effects in the Jordanian Society (Analytical Study)

*Musa Shteivi and Muneer Karadsheh\**

### ABSTRACT

This study aims to examine and analyze the rural and urban disparities and demographic effects in the Jordanian society, the study has used the data of the population and family health survey 2007 in order to analyze the survey data, different models of statistical analysis ranging from simple and bilateral to advanced had been used. The results of the study clarified that there is an important impact due to the nature of the residence of the spouses including the "level of education of the spouses, the contribution of women in the labor market and the age of wife at time of marriage and age difference between spouses" also the study results showed the importance of the residence impact on many demographic behavior aspects of the couple such as a prior discussion between the spouses, on the use of family planning, and on child mortality" they have compared to marginal and weak influence of the preferred size of the fertility and the fertility age, difference between spouses and the number of male children living in the family.

**Keywords:** Urban Disparities, Rural Disparities, Data of Population Survey, Jordanian Society.

---

\* Department of Sociology, The University of Jordan.

\*\* Department of Sociology and Social Services, Yarmouk University  
Received on 1/7/2011 and Accepted for Publication on 23/10/2012.