

(BLUP)

* ☒

1992 () 4100 13801
 (BLUP) 2003

Harvey SAS (General Linear Model)
 MIVQUE ()
 .(BLUP)

0.23 ± 173.06
 (0.01 >)

0.00

	0.02	0.005	0.07	0.005	0.002	0.03
/	4.46 -	12.37 -	12.23 -	9.86 -	7.91 -	4.65 -
						0.60 -

(0.01 >)

- 97

14.80 12.99

(2004) (2002) Weigel
 Van Raden

(1998 Berger Dematawewa)

firas_rashad@yahoo.com :
 .2008/5/29 2006/8/2

(2003 Scaramuzzi Freeman)

3.5 - 3 1

15

(Reliability)

(2004

Van Raden)

GLM

(2001) SAS
(Fixed Effects)

(Interbull)
(2003 Interbull)

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + P_i + X_j + A_k + S_l + R_m + e_{ijklmn}$$

(Nonreturn Rate)

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + P_i + X_j + A_k + S_l + R_m + e_{ijklmn}$$

(2004 Van Raden)

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + P_i + X_j + A_k + S_l + R_m + e_{ijklmn}$$

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + P_i + X_j + A_k + S_l + R_m + e_{ijklmn}$$

4100

13801

2003 1992

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + P_i + X_j + A_k + S_l + R_m + e_{ijklmn}$$

(2003

=e_{ijklmn}

.σ²e

(Minimum Variance MIVQUE

Mangurkar 1983 Basu Sadana)		
1986 Singh Sharma 1985		
(2003 Makarechian Farid 1988		
(1987 Das)		
)		
		(
		Sibs)
		: (1)
±		
.()		
<hr/>		
±		
<hr/>		
0.23 ± 173.06	13801	
bc 2.05 ± 179.21	4087	
c 2.24 ± 177.92	3284	
c 2.60 ± 176.10	2430	
b 3.11 ± 181.78	1689	
ab 3.91 ± 187.38	1059	
a 3.72 ± 193.69	1252	
a 0.10 ± 185.43	7065	
b 0.10 ± 179.93	6736	
a 3.55 ± 182.18	1505	26
a 3.35 ± 181.57	1479	28 - 27
a 2.59 ± 184.87	2767	30 - 29
a 2.72 ± 178.55	2498	32 - 31
a 3.10 ± 185.48	1768	34 - 33
a 3.60 ± 179.75	1264	36 - 35
a 2.70 ± 186.35	2520	37
b 2.15 ± 186.78	3795	
a 2.73 ± 208.56	2522	
c 2.24 ± 178.14	3501	

(1971 Rao) Quadratic Unbiased Estimation)
Random)

(Fixed (Effects
(Mixed Model) Effects)

(Paternal Half
Sibs)

$$Y_{ijklmno} = \mu + P_i + X_j + A_k + S_l + R_m + F_n + e_{ijklmno}$$

(97)

(1991)Harvey

(1) 173.06

Olds)

1983 McDaniel Seykora 1979

Berger Dematawewa 1988 Ponce de Leon

Hermiz 2004 Haile-Mariam 1998

178 103 (2005

(1978 Bath)

(1988)

(0.01 >)

179.21

(176.10)

(193.69)

		.(1998 Berger		±	
157.24)	(208.56)	(0.01 >)	d 2.06 ±157.24	3983	
		(cd 4.56 ±177.69	999	1992
			c 5.03 ±190.04	649	1993
			b 4.49 ±212.54	696	1994
		(Heat Stress)	a 4.41 ±272.17	823	1995
			b 4.43 ±212.56	822	1996
		Bath) (Silent Heat)	d 3.66 ±168.95	1248	1997
			c 3.39 ±185.21	1439	1998
		(1978	de 3.30 ±167.13	1529	1999
			d 3.26 ±169.88	1532	2000
		Kradjok Rako)	de 3.27 ±167.32	1507	2001
			e 3.46 ±158.28	1361	2002
		(1984	f 3.85 ±110.38	1096	2003

(138.5) (157)
 (1988
 (220.06) :
 .% 1
 (187.65)
 (0.01 >) (0.01 >)
 1995 (272.17)
 2003 (110.38) (185.43)
 (179.93)
 (2)

(1984 Wiltbank)
 (1996 Peters Laven)
 19

(/ 4.46 -) Dematawewa)

$$\approx 4.64 / 93 \quad 93 = 80 - 173 \quad 21$$

$$(21)$$

$$(85 - 80)$$

:(3)
(BLUP)

.()		
BLUP		
22.99	1060	1
21.47	14H0699	2
20.03	45	3
19.51	1818002	4
14.80	7652	5
-----	-----	----
10.35 -	2H53	93
10.67 -	543	94
10.83 -	99229	95
12.12 -	916	96
12.99 -	97865	97

:(2)

. (/)	
0.60 -	0.00
** 4.65 -	0.03
** 7.91 -	0.002
** 9.86 -	0.005
** 12.23 -	0.07
** 12.37 -	0.005
** 4.46 -	0.02

(2)

0.00

0.02

0.07

1976 McDowell)
Van Raden 1983 McDaniel Seykora
(2005 Hermiz 2004
(3)
(BLUP)

14.80

12.99 -

Van 2003 Scaramuzzi Freeman)
(2004 Raden

(1998 Berger Dematawewa)

1988

7

- Bath, D.L., Dickirson, F.N., Tucker, H.A. and Appleman, R.D. 1978. Dairy cattle: Principles, practices, profits. Lea and Fabiger, Philadelphia.
- Das, G.C., D. Das and A. Aziz. 1987. Service period, conception rate and breeding efficiency of Jersey cows in Assam. *Indian Vet. J.* 64:150 – 151.
- Dematawewa, C.M.B. and P.J. Berger. 1998. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for 305 – day yield, fertility and survival in Holstein. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:2700 – 2709.
- Farid, A. and M. Makarechian. 1984. A preliminary study of reproduction of Sarabi cows and brown swiss bulls. *World Rev. Anim. Prod.* 20:49 – 56.
- Freeman, F. and R.J. Scaramuzzi. 2003. Heat stress and seasonal effects on reproduction in the dairy cow. A review. *Theriogenology*, 60:1139 – 1151.
- Haile-Mariam, M., Bowman, P.J. and Goddard, M.E. 2004. Genetic parameters of fertility traits and their correlation with production, type, workability, live weight, survival index and cell count. *Australian J. Agric. Res.*, 55 : 77-88.
- Harvey, W.R. 1991. Mixed models least – square and maximum likelihood computer program. Users Guide for LSMLMW.
- Hermiz, H.N., Juma, K.H., Kalaf, S.S. and Aldoori, T.Sh. 2005. Genetic parameters of production, reproduction and growth traits of Holstein cows. *Dirasat*, 32:157-162.
- Interbull. 2003. Description of national genetic evaluation systems for dairy cattle traits as practiced in different interbull member countries. http://www.interbull.slu.se/national_ges_info2/framesida-ges.htm. Accessed Oct. 9. 2003.
- Laven, R.A. and A.R. Peters. 1996. Bovine retained placenta: aetiology, pathogenesis and economic loss. *Vet. Rec.* 139:465- 471.
- Lucy, M.C. 2001. Reproductive loss in high – producing dairy cattle: Where will it end? *J. Dairy Sci.* 84 : 1277 – 1293.
- Mangurkar, B.R., S.B. Gokhale, D.N. Shindy, A.B. Pande and L. Phanis. 1985. Reproduction performance of Holstein – Friesian and Jersey purebred cows in a herd in India. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 55:893 – 897.
- McDowell, R.E., J.K. Camones, L.D. Van Vleck, E. Christensen and E. Cabell ofria. 1976. Factors affecting performance of Holsteins in subtropical regions of Mexico. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 59:722 – 729.

- Olds, D.,T. Cooper and F.A. Thrift.1979. Relationships between milk yield and fertility in dairy cattle. *J.Dairy Sci.*,62:1140 – 1144.
- Ponce de Leon, R. and Gomez, M. 1988. Genetic and environmental factors affecting long-term reproduction and longevity in the Holstein – breed. *Cuban J. Agric. Sci.*, 22 : 9-15.(*Anim. Breed. Abstr.*, 56 : 4911).
- Rako, A.and I. Karadjole.1984.The effect and importance of calving season on fertility and milk yield. *Stocarstvo*.38:123 – 127.(*Dairy Sci. Abstr.*47:546.).
- Rao, C.R. 1971. Minimum variance quadratic unbiased estimation of variance component. *J. of Multivariate Analysis.*,1 :445-456.
- Sadana, D.K. and S.B. Basu.1983. Reproductive performance of exotic breeds in India. *Indian J.Dairy Sci.*,36:121 – 124.
- SAS. 2001. SAS/STAT User's guide for personal computer. Release 6.18. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, N.C., USA.
- Sharma, N.C. and B.Singh.1986.Service period in crossbred cows. *Indian Vet.J.*63:160 – 162.
- Van Raden, P.M.,A.H. Sanders, M.E. Tooker, R.H. Miller, H. D. Norman, M.T. Kuhn and G.R. Wiggans. 2004. Development of a national genetic evaluation for cow fertility. *J.Dairy Sci.*, 87: 2285-2292.
- Weigel, K.A., R.W. Palmer and D.Z. Caraviello. 2002. Assessment of trends in involuntary culling in expanding herds using survival analysis methodology. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 85(Suppl. 1) 34,(Abstr.).
- Wiltbank,J.N.1984.Incidence of retained placenta followed induction of parturition with corticoid or prostaglandin. *Theriogenology*, 21:427 – 434.

Best Linear Unbiased Prediction(BLUP) for Sires Depending on Days Open of Their Daughters in a Herd of Holstein

*F.R.Al-Samarai**

ABSTRACT

A total of 13801 records belonging to 4100 Holstein cows raised at Al-Nasr Dairy Cattle Station were analysed from 1992 to 2003.

The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of some fixed factors (season and year of calving, parity, sex of calf and age at first calving) on days open and to estimate heritability in addition to estimate Best Linear Unbiased Prediction(BLUP) for sires depending on the days open of their daughters.

General Linear Model(GLM) of SAS program 2001 was used to calculate the effects of some fixed factors. Variance components were estimated by the Minimum Variance Quadratic Unbiased Estimation(MIVQUE) procedure.

Harvey program was also used to obtain BLUP values of 97 Holstein sires.

The overall mean of days open was 173.06 day and the effects of all fixed factors were significant ($P < 0.01$) except for age at first calving.

Heritability estimates of first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth and total days open were 0.00, 0.03, 0.002, 0.005, 0.07, 0.005 and 0.02, respectively.

The corresponding phenotypic trends for the same traits were -0.60 , -4.65 , -7.91 , -9.86 , -12.23 , -12.37 and -4.46 day/ year, and all of them were significant ($P < 0.01$) except the phenotypic trend of the first days open.

Best linear unbiased estimation for 97 sires ranged from -12.99 to 14.80 days.

KEYWORDS: Genetic evaluation, Phenotypic trend, Days open, Dairy cattle.

* Veterinary Medicine College, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq.
Received on 2/8/2006 and Accepted for Publication on 29/5/2008.