

Rhizoctonia solani

(_____)

1 1

P. fluorescence *K. marxianus* *B. subtilis*
R. solani

%5.5
.% 27.7

S. B. subtilis 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.2

P. fluorescence *K. marxianus* *cerevisiae*

B. subtilis
1.06

. 0.1
P. fluorescence *S. cerevisiae*
. 0.2 0.7 0.8

P. fluorescence *S. cerevisiae* *B. subtili*
1.06 1.18 1.27

. / 0.37

:

Webster)

.(2007 Weber

(1998)

Rhizoctonia solani Kuhn

El-Shami)

Mazzola ;1996 Shoda Asaka ;1993

65-35

R. solani

.(1996

Jiskannim)

%

.(2007

.(2004 Latorre)

oadi77@yahoo.com

.2012/12/30

2012/10/1

1

-	/				
	PDA	9		Sivasithamparam El-Tarabily)	
				(1995) Suzzi	(2006)
				Saccharomyces	
	<i>Basillus subtilis</i>	<i>Pseudomonas flourecense</i>		<i>R. fragariae</i>	Zygosaccharomyces
	<i>cerevisiae</i>	<i>Kluyveromyces marxianus</i>		<i>S.</i>	
	2	<i>Sacchromyces</i>			<i>cerevisiae</i>
(NA)	Nutrient Agar			<i>Fusarium Rhizoctonia</i>	
		48		El-) <i>Sclerotinia Botrytis Macrophomina</i>	
		0.5		Attyia ; 2002 Abd Alla Gamal	
	PDA			;2009 Elwakil ; 2001 Youssry	
		3.5	<i>R.solani</i>	<i>Kluyveromyces spp</i>	(2009)
	9		3.5	<i>F.</i>	
					<i>verticilloides</i>
12		2 ± 25		(2011)	(2009) Etcheverry)
		<i>R.solani</i>		<i>K. S. cerevisiae</i>	
				<i>R. solani</i>	<i>marxianus</i>
				<i>Bacillus</i>	
					<i>Pseudomonas</i>
				Gasoni)	<i>R.solani</i>
				<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	(1998)
	$100 \times + / =$				
<i>P.</i>				(2003)	Montealegre)
<i>S. K. marxianus</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>fluorescence</i>		<i>P. B.subtilis</i>	(2007)
NB		<i>cerevisiae</i>			<i>florescence</i>
		48	2 ± 25	<i>Pythium</i>	
	1	10^{-5}			<i>.aphanidermatum</i>
	PDA	20-15			
1				<i>R.solani</i>	

NB
 48 ° 2±25
 Haemocytometer

R.solani PDA
 ° 2 ± 25
 24

7 10×37 7 10×85 8 10×49 8 10×53
 K. *B. subtilis* *P. fluorescens*
S. cerevisiae *marxianus*
 / 1

100 × / = %
R.solani *R.solani*
 ° 40
 2 180
 3 2
 6
 2 3

GenStat CRBD
 Discovery Edition 3
 .05 L.S.D 121
R. solani 1/ 20
 20 2 / 1.5 °
 5 48
R. solani 1
 21 ° 2 ± 25
 5

3-10
 PDA *R. solani* 2011-11-31 4
 K. *P. fluoresces* *B. subtilus* 50
S. cerevisiae *marxianus*
 0.3 0.1 NB
 / 0.5 100

	(2002 Spadaro)				
	Fungicidal		<i>R. solani</i>		PDA
		Antifungal			
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>				
K.	(2010 Raspor)	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>K. marxianus</i>	<i>P. fluoresces</i>
		<i>marxianus</i>			<i>subtilis cerevisiae</i>
	(2007 Kabli)				
	(2011)				
P.	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>K. marxianus</i>			PDA
		<i>aphanidermatum</i>	<i>R. solani</i>		
	(2007)		%65 69 59.7 67		
P.	<i>P. fluoresce</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>P. fluoresce</i>
		<i>aphanidermatum</i>		.1	<i>K. marxianus</i>



1. *K. marxianus* - *R. solani*
R. solani - *P. fluoresce*
B. subtilis - *R. solani*
S. cerevisiae - *R. solani*

0.176

.Topsin M

R.solani

S. cerevisiae *B. subtilis*

R.solani

P. fluorescence

.1

K. B. subtilis

0.2 0.7 0.8 1.06

5.5 5.5

P. fluorescence marxianus

S. B. subtilis

% 5.5

P. fluorescence cerevisiae

70 %

%27.7

1.27

() Topsin M

1.06 1.18

%.%22.2

0.37

Topsin M

0.3 0.2

(.1)

S. cerevisiae B. subtilis 0.3 0.2

P. fluorescence K. marxianus

.1

R.solani

/ /	/ /	/ /	%	
1.27	1.06	0.2	5.5	<i>B. subtilis</i> + <i>R.solani</i> .1
1.18	0.8	0.3	16.6	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> + <i>R.solani</i> .2
0.88	0.6	0.2	5.5	<i>K. marxianus</i> + <i>R.solani</i> .3
1.06	0.7	0.3	5.5	<i>P. fluorescence</i> + <i>R.solani</i> .4
0.37	0.2	0.1	27.7	<i>R.solani</i> .5
0.66	0.5	0.1	22.2	Topsin M + <i>R.solani</i> .6
0.72	0.5	0.2	15.6	LSD 0.05

.1998 .

.2009 .

112 .

.2007.

.16-9 : (6) 40

Pythium aphnidermatum (Edson) Fitz

.2011.

.28-39:23.

Rhizoctonia solani *Pythium aphanidermatum*

.121

- Asaka, O. and M. Shoda. 1996. Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off of tomato with *Bacillus subtilis* RB14. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 62 (11): 4081-4085.
- Attyia, S.H and A.A. Youssry .2001. Application of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a biocontrol agent against some diseases of solanaceae caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Fusarium solani*. *Egyptian Journal of Biology*. 3: 79-87.
- El-Gamal , N.G.S. and M.A. Abd Alla. 2002. Biological control of white rot disease on green bean pods during transportation and storage. Egypt. *J. Phytopathol.* 30 (2): 57-70.
- El-Shami, M.A., N.G.H. Awad and N.A.R. Abdel Nour .1993. Effect of fungicides and herbicides interactions on tomato damping-off and plant growth. *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 71 (3): 641-658.
- El-Tarabily , K.A. and K. Sivasithamparam. 2006. Potential of yeasts as biocontrol agents of soil – borne fungal plant pathogens and as plant growth promoters. *Mycoscience*. 47: 25-35.
- Elwakil M.A., O.A. Awadallah, I.M. El-Refai, M.A. El-Metwally and M.S. Mohammed. 2009. The use of bread yeasts as a biocontrol agent for controlling seed – borne fungi of faba bean. *Plant Pathology Journal*. 8 (4): 133-143.
- Etcheverry , M.G., A. Scandolara, A. Nesci, M.S. Ribieiro, P. Pereira and P. Battilani .2009. Biological interactions to select biocontrol agents against toxigenic strains of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Fusarium verticilloides* from maize. *Biomedical and Life Sciences . Mycopathologia*. 167 (5) : 287-295.
- Gasoni, L., J Cozzi, K Kobayashi, V Yossen, G Zumelzu and S Babbitt. 1998. Suppressive effect of antagonistic agents on *Rhizoctonia isolates* on lettuce and potato in Argentina field plots. In: International Congress of Plant Pathology. (9th - 16th August, 1998, Edinburgh, Scotland). p. 5.2.44.
- Jiskannim M.M., M.A. Pathan, K.H. Wagan, M. Imran and H. Abro.2007. Studies on the control of tomato damping off disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. *Pak. J. Bot.* 39(7): 2749-2754.
- Kabli, S.A .2007. Purification and characterization of protopectinase produced by *Kluyveromyces marxianus* . *J. Kau. Sci.* 19 : 139-153
- Latorre, B. 2004. Enfermedades de las plantas cultivadas. Santiago; Ediciones Universidad Católica de Chile.. 638 p. ISBN 956-14-0756-6.
- Mazzola, M. 1996. Classification and Pathogenicity of *Rhizoctonia spp.* isolated from apple roots and orchard soil. *Phytopathology*, 86(11): 55, 37 .
- Montealegre J R, R Reyes, L Pérez, R Herrera, P Silva and X Besoain. 2003. Selection of bioantagonistic bacteria to be used in biological control of *Rhizoctonia solani* in tomato. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*. 6(2):116-127.
- Raspor , P.,D.M. Miklic , M. Avbelj and N. Cadez . 2010. Biocontrol of grey mold disease on grape caused by *Botrytis cinerea* with Autochthonous wine yeasts. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* 48 (3):336-343.
- Spadaro, D., R. Vola, S. Piano and M.L. Gullino. 2002. Mechanisms of action and efficiency of four isolates of the yeast *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* active against postharvest pathogens on apples. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 24:123-134.
- Suzzi , G. ,P. Romano, I. Ponti and C. Montuschi. 1995. Natural wine yeasts as biocontrol agents. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 78:304-308.
- Webster J. and R. W. S. Weber. 2007. *Inrtoduction to Fungi*, Cambridge University Press, 3rd edition.

...

Biological Control of Tomato Damping off Caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* under Plastic House Condition

(A Research of the 7th Agricultural Conference)

Oadi N. Matny¹ and Adnan A. Eisa¹

ABSTRACT

The result of this study showed the activity of biological agent *B. subtilis*, *K. marxianus*, *P. fluorescence* in reducing the disease incidence of tomato seedlings underplastichouse conditions. The disease incidence was 5.5% for each of the preceding biocontrol agents, respectively, compared with pathogen treatment which was 27.7%. The biological agent showed the ability to increase plant growth parameters for tomato seedlings, the biological agents *B. subtilis*, *S. cerevisiae*, *K. marxianus*, *P. fluorescence* increased the dry root weight, and it was 0.2, 0.3, 0.2 and 0.3 g/plant respectively compared with pathogen treatment which was 0.1 g. The dry shoot weight was increased by *B. subtilis*, *S. cerevisiae*, *P. fluorescence* treatments and it was 1.06, 0.8 and 0.7 g/plant respectively compared to pathogen treatment which was 0.2 g. The total weight of tomato plants was increased by using the flowing biocontrol agents; *B. subtilis*, *S. cerevisiae*, *P. fluorescence* treatments and it was 1.27, 1.18 and 1.06 g/plant, respectively, compared with *R. solani* treatment which was 0.37g/plant.

Keywords: Biological Control, Tomato Damping off.

¹Department of Plant Protection-Faculty of Agriculture-University of Baghdad.
oadi77@yahoo.com
Received on 1/10/2012 and Accepted for Publication on 30/12/2012.