

(Triticum aestivum L.)

1 1

(Cu Zn Fe)

(T2) (99) (T1) :

(T7) (T6) (T5) (T4) (T3)

(T1) (T8)

(T8)

% 109 38.1 38 28.3 38.5 11.4

) T8 (

T7 N, P, K, Fe, Zn, Cu

% 71

% 11 % 63

(99) /

B₁

B₂

gliding

gluteinin

(Triticum aetivum L.)

.(1992

1987

)

(1

.2010/6/14

2009/6/24

.(1994

)

Vallace & Falchuk, 1991; Jones, 1995;)
(Gobara, 1998; Brayon, 1999; Focus, 2003

(2001)

:
-1
.99 / (micronutrients)
-2 K P
K N

2008 / 2007

- -
(Cu, Zn, Fe) ; Khan & Jamil, 1998; 2000)
(Mingle and Kirkby, 1982; Whitehead, 2000

99 / .(Yagodin, 1984)

/ Bergmann, 1983)
() .(2006 1988 1987

(1)

(Page et al., 1982 Black, 1965)
(Cu, Zn, Fe) ; Lombim,1983 ; 1988)

/ .(2005

(Trieweiler and Lindsay, 1969)

1- . 50 (Fe) :
25 (Zn) (Fe % 20)
(Zn % 35) 1- .
1- . 25 (Cu)

(/ 130) / 0.3 (Cu % 24.8)
)
 2007 /11 /28 .()
 (N % 46) 20) (28) (28)
 / 1 (9) ()
 (CRD)
 (P₂O₅ 45%)
 (/ 200) / 1
 (K₂O % 42) K₂SO₄
 .(2) (45)

.1

	1.65	EC
¹⁻ .		
pH	7.3	
¹⁻ .	131	
¹⁻ .	15.2	
¹⁻ .	138	
%	1.2	
%	37.5	
%	52.5	
%	10.0	
-		
¹⁻ .	4.20	
¹⁻ .	1.04	
¹⁻ .	3.02	

		.2				
		/()				
		-				T ₁
		50		/ 50		T ₂
		25		/ 25		T ₃
		25		/ 25		T ₄
	50+	25	/ 50+	/ 25		T ₅
	50+	25	/ 50+	/ 25		T ₆
	25+	25	/ 25+	/ 25		T ₇
50+	25+	25	/ 25+	/ 25		T ₈
				/ 50+		

* * =
 . 0.95 0.95 1- .³ 0.15
 b a))
 (Witham *et al.*, 1971) (99) / (2001
 (Sawhuwy and Randhir, 2000) (15) 2007/11/18
 25 (5)
 : (7)
 =
 . (A.A.C.C., 2000) 5.7 * 45
 60 (50)
 (Sawhuwy and Randhir, 2000) 90 75
 .(Chapman and Pratt, 1961) 100
 (Cu, Zn, Fe)
 (Trieweiler and Lindsay, 1969) 40
 /
 .(Joslyn , 1970)
 1000 (Liang *et al.*, 1973)
 .(10) 100 105 (Thomas, 1975)
 :

Least (L.S.D.5%)
Significant Difference
Analysis of Variance
(Zar , 1999)

(/)
39 (/)
/ 2.9 /² 22.2 /
% 37 26 11
(3) T₁

(/) (Cu, Zn, Fe)
(/) 99 /
/ 28.8 / 6.9 / 2.3 T₈
38 36 109 (Fe+Zn+Cu)
(.4) % () T₇

.3

.99 /

(/)	(/ ²)	(/)	
2.1	17.3	35.0	T ₁
2.2	19.6	35.8	T ₂
2.5	20.2	37.0	T ₃
2.4	18.4	36.6	T ₄
2.7	21.0	38.4	T ₅
2.5	20.8	37.6	T ₆
2.8	22.0	38.8	T ₇
2.9	22.2	39.0	T ₈
0.08	1.08	0.81	LSD _{P≤0.05}

.4

.99 /

(/)	(/)	(/)	
20.8	5.0	1.1	T ₁
21.3	5.6	1.6	T ₂
26.0	6.3	1.6	T ₃
25.0	5.9	1.5	T ₄
27.9	6.8	1.9	T ₅
26.1	6.4	1.7	T ₆
27.9	6.3	1.6	T ₇
28.8	6.9	2.3	T ₈
0.46	0.52	0.36	LSD _{p≤0.05}

Cu,)

a b a

T₈

(Zn ,Fe

/ (4.83 1.51 3.32) + b

.99 /

% 11 % 17 % 9

.(5)

T₈

b

a

.5

.99 /

(/)	b (/)	a (/)	
4.34	1.29	3.05	T ₁
4.61	1.36	3.25	T ₂
4.52	1.33	3.20	T ₃
4.46	1.31	3.15	T ₄
4.70	1.43	3.28	T ₅
4.68	1.42	3.26	T ₆

(/)	b (/)	a (/)	
4.67	1.41	3.26	T ₇
4.83	1.51	3.32	T ₈
0.11	0.02	0.05	LSD $P \leq 0.05$

T₇ (6)

10.2 13.6 T₈
 %79 %78
 1- 9.0 % 0.39 % 3.42 T₈
 % 63 % 21 (% 2.67
 %63 % 26
 (7)

.99 /

.6

(%)	(%)	(%)	
1.63	0.31	2.81	T ₁
2.12	0.34	3.12	T ₂
2.08	0.33	3.13	T ₃
2.02	0.36	3.12	T ₄
2.58	0.37	3.28	T ₅
2.38	0.36	3.24	T ₆
2.33	0.37	3.15	T ₇
2.67	0.39	3.42	T ₈
0.28	0.02	0.26	LSD $P \leq 0.05$

.7

.99		/	
/	/	/	
5.5	5.7	7.6	T ₁
6.2	6.8	9.1	T ₂
6.5	7.6	9.8	T ₃
7.8	6.3	8.4	T ₄
8.1	8.7	11.6	T ₅
7.8	8.1	10.7	T ₆
8.8	8.5	11.3	T ₇
9.0	10.2	13.6	T ₈
0.59	1.46	1.9	LSD _{P≤0.05}

(8)

T ₈	%63	%15.2		(76.3 %)
(Zn +Fe)T ₅			%11.8	T ₈
			.(68.2 %)	
		%14.7	(%74.2)	T ₅
(Cu)T ₄		%57.97		% 8.7
% 11.5				T ₄
		%23.77	%69.9	
%.9.3				%2.5

.8

.99 /	
(%)	(%)
9.3	68.2
12.1	72.6
11.9	71.6
11.5	69.9
14.7	74.2
13.6	74.0
13.3	73.0
15.2	76.3
1.46	1.33

9.8

Cu,) / (Zn, Fe 99	
(%)	(%)
71.71	5.7
T8 (T7, T6, T5)	31.9
1000	1000
1000	16.27
1000	9

1000 /	
(/)	()
5.7	27.4
7.4	30.1
9.7	31.1
7.1	30.0
9.7	31.5
8.7	31.1

(/)	1000 ()	
9.7	31.4	T ₇
9.8	31.9	T ₈
1.5	2.4	LSD P≤0.05

Cakmak and) ()
 Marschner, 1993; Suge et al., 1986; Coleman,
 .(1992; Cakmak *et al.*, 1998

(Fe + Zn + Cu) T₈

(2000) (1997)
 .Vinod *et al.* (1990)

.(2003)
 (Cu, Zn,

(Fe

Tryptophan

.(Cakmak *et al.*, 1998)

(2008)

(1993 1988)

6

Beckett and Davis, 1978; ; Selim, 1994)

4

.(Bergmann, 1992

Goods *et al.* (2000)

.(Abd-El-Hadi et al., 1990)

)

.(2006)

(Mengel and Kirby, 1982; Pamila and Dipak, 1977 1988

(Tryptophan)

(Indole Acetic Acid IAA)

K, P, N

% 71
% 63

(Cu ,Zn, Fe) % 11

DNA RNA
(1988)
(Mengle & Kirkby, 1982; Focus, RNA 2003)

(2004)
(Yoshida *et al.*, 1970)

Evans & Wardlaw, 1976; Harder *et al.*,)
(2003 1982
) /
(99

-13

.2000/11/17

2001

.138-122 :(1)1
1988

2003

1997

.105-98:(1)3

2008

(3)
.60-51:(1)28

2006

2006

Citrus sinensis

.22-15:(2) 37

N15

2000

1987

Sorghum

bicolor L.

1993

(*Triticum aestivum* L.)

1994

.14-8:(1)24

2001

.85-80

2000

.18-16 :(4)5

()

2004

1999

.2 ()

2003

1992

.36-31 :(6)34

2005

A.A.C.C. 2000. American Association of Cereal Chemists. Approved Methods.

Abd-El-Hadi, M. A., Hassan, A. A., Mustafa, A. and Shaloby, S.A. 1990. Effect of Zn, Mn, Fe and some different foliar fertilizers on wheat production in Egyptian soil. Agric. Res. Center. Soil and Water Res. Inst. Giza, Egypt.

Beckett, P.H. and Davis, R.D. 1978. The additively toxic effect of Cu, Ni and Zn in young barley. *New Phytol.*, 81,155-173.

Bergmann, W. 1992. Nutritional disorders of plant. Development of Visual and Analytical Diagnosis. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Jena, Stuttgart, New York. 204-282.

Bergmann, W. 1983. Kurpflanzen,VEB, Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, DDR.

Black, C.A. 1965. Methods of soils analysis. Amer. Soc. of Agr., Inc., U.S.A.

Brayan, C. 1999. Foliar fertilization. Secrets of success. Proc. Symp Beyond Foliar Application, 10-14 June 1999. Adelaide. Australia. Publ. Adelaide Univ.,30-36.

Cakmak, I. and Marschner, H. 1993. Effect of zinc

nutritional status on activities of superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide scavenging enzymes in bean leaves.

Plant and Soil., 155/156: 127-130

Cakmak, I., Torun, B., Erenoglu, B., Ozturk, L., Marschner, H., Kalayci, M. and Ekiz, H. 1998. Morphological and physiological differences in cereals in response to zinc deficiency. *Euphytica*, 1000: 1-10.

Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.F. 1961. Methods of analysis for soil, plant and water analysis, University of California, Agric. Sci. Div.

Coleman, J. E. 1992. Zinc proteins, enzymes storage proteins, transcription factors and replication proteins. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.*, 16: 897-946

Evans, L. T. and Wardlaw, I.F. 1976. Aspects of the comparative physiology of grain yield in cereals. *Agronomy*, 28:301-359.

Focus. 2003. The importance of micro-nutrients in the region and benefits of including them in fertilizers. *Agro-Chemicals Report*, 111(1): 15-22.

Gobara, A.A. 1998. Response of leconte pear trees to foliar application of some nutrients. *Egyptian Journal of*

- Horticulture*, 25 (1): 55-70.
- Goods, R. J., Brian E. and Shanon, A. 2000. A comparison of three methods for reducing deficiency chlorosis in soybean. *Agronomy J.*, 92: 1135-1139.
- Harder, H.J., Carlson, R.E. and Shaw, R.H. 1982. Corn grain yield and nutrient responses to foliar fertilizer applied during fill. *Agro. J.*, 74: 106- 110.
- Jones, E. R. 1995. A grower's guide to the foliar feeding of plant. *Washington and Oregon Farmer*, 28: 13-17.
- Joslyn, M.A. 1970. Methods on food analysis, physical, chemical and industrial methods of analysis. 2nd ed. Academic Press. New York and London.
- Khan, M.Q. and Jamil, I.M. 1998. Effect of trace elements and their concentrations in soil and wheat leaves. *Sarhad J. of Agric. (Pakistan)*, 14 (2): 121-125.
- Liang, G.H., Chu, C.C., Redi, N.S., Lin, S.S. and Dayton, A.D. 1973. Leaf blade areas of grain sorghum varieties and hybrids. *Agron. J.*, 65 (1).
- Lombin, G. 1983. Evaluation the micronutrient fertility of Nigeria semiarid Savanna soil. 1-Copper and manganese, *Soil Sci.*, 135: 377-348.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E. A. 1982. Principles of plant nutrition. 3rd edition, International Potash Institute, Bern, Switzerland.
- Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. 1982. Methods of soil analysis. Part (2). Ed., Agronomy. Amer. Soc. Agro. Madison, Wisconsin.
- Pamila, Sachder and Dipak, L. D. 1977. Effect of zinc on protein and RNA content in wheat plant. *J. Sci. Fd. Agric.*, 28: 959-962.
- Sawhuwy, S. K. and Randhir, R. 2000. Introductory practical biochemistry. Norsa Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Selim, M. M. 1994. Effect of foliar application with Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu and metal sate. *Egypt J. Agro.*, 19 (1-2): 177-191.
- Suge, H., Takahashi, H. and Takaki, H. 1986. Gibberellins relationship in zinc deficient plants. *Plant Cell Physiology*, 27: 1010-1012.
- Thomas, H. 1975. The growth response to weather of stimulated vegetative swards of a single genotype of lolium perenne. *J. Agric. Sci. Comb.*, 84: 333-343.
- Trieweiler, J.F. and Lindsay, W.L. 1969. EDTA. Ammonium carbonate soil test for zinc. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc.*, 33: 49-54.
- Vallace, B. L. and Falchuk, K. L. 1991. The biochemical basis of zinc physiology. *Physiol. Rev.*, 73.
- Vinod Kumer, D.V., Yadav, D. N. and Yadav, D.S. 1990. Effects of nitrogen sources and copper levels on yield, nitrogen and copper contents of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant and Soil.*, 126: 79-83.
- Whitehead, D.C., 2000. Nutrient elements in grassland: soil-plant-animal relationships. (ABI, Walling Ford, UK).
- Witham, F.H., Blades, D.F and Devlin, R.M. 1971. Experiments in plant physiology, Litton Education Publishing, Inc., New York.
- Yagodin, B.A. 1984. Agricultural chemistry, Part II. Mir Publishers, Moscow.
- Yoshida, S., McLean, G.W., Shafi, M. and Mueller, K.E. 1970. Effects of different methods of zinc application on growth and yield of rice in a calcareous soil in West Pakistan. *Soil Sci. and Plant Nutrition*, 16, 4.
- Zar, J. H. 1999. Bio-statistical analysis, 4th Ed. Prentice Hall International, Inc., USA.

The Effect of Foliar Application of Some Microelements on Growth and Productivity of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Hammad Nawaf Farhan* and Thamer Mehidi Badawi Al-Dulaemi*

ABSTRACT

This experiment was conducted in pots to study the effects of foliar application of microelements (Cu, Zn and Fe) on some morphological, physiological and productivity characters of wheat (Ibaa cultivar). The experiment contained the following treatments: control (T1), iron sulfate (T2), zinc sulfate (T3), copper sulfate (T4), iron + zinc (T5), iron + copper (T6), zinc + copper (T7) and iron + zinc + copper (T8). All microelement treatments showed significant increase in all morphological, physiological and productivity characters compared with the control treatment. T8 treatment gave significant increase as compared to other treatments in all morphological characters (height of plant, leaf number, leaf area, branch number, total dry weight for shoots and roots). It gave increases by 11.4, 38.5, 28.3, 38, 38.1 and 109 %, respectively compared with the control. The T8 treatment also gave significant values in all physiological characters (chlorophyll, concentrations of N, P, K, Fe, Zn, Cu, starch and protein in grains) as compared to other treatments and the control treatment except with treatment T7, where there was no significant difference in P % and Fe % in grains. T8 gave increases in grain yield, protein and starch in grains by 71, 63 and 11 %, respectively compared to the control treatment. It is concluded that wheat cultivar (Ibaa) gave a clear response to foliar application with microelements Fe, Zn and Cu. Treatments of combinations between two elements together came second while treatments with each element alone came third. The results gave an indicator to use more than one element in foliar application in many bathes under a sprinkler irrigation system.

Keywords: Foliar application, Fe, Zn, Cu, Wheat crop.

* Department of Biological Sciences, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Al-Anbar University, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Iraq.

Received on 24/6/2009 and Accepted for Publication on 14/6/2010.