

2002-1987

* ☒ *

2003 %39.7

2002-1987

2002 1997 1992 1987

.25955

%42

%19

297

356.4

0.28

1.02

0.34

0.9

.%82

%54

2002-1987

2003

.(2003

) %39.7

%60

%58

%48

%54

akadi@ju.edu.jo :

.2008/4/28

2007/5/16

*

☒

2002 /) 290 %47 %60 %74
 .(2003 %49 %56 %65
 .(2003 Garret)

1-James Garret, Rural-Urban Comparison of Cash and Consumption Expenditure (2003)
 Illinois) 1996 %23.9 %10.9 1992
 World Food and Sustainable Agriculture .(Program

(Engle's Law)

%75 %37

%58 %48

2-Helen H. Jensen, Demand for Food Commodities by Income Groups in Indonesia (1996)

(2006)

3-Mark Gehlhar, Global Food Consumption and Impacts on Trade Patterns (2000)
 5.4 2005
 (2005) %21 %2.4

0.54
 0.809 0.846 0.743 0.477
 .0.601 0.5

7- Jacinto Fabiosa, Data Using Income Classes to Estimate Consumption Parameters for Food Policy Analysis, ERS/USDA (1996)
 Jamaica

4- Gordon Anderson, Consumption-Income Elasticities of the Poor, Toronto (1999)

%56.2

8- Rajiv Chaudhri, The Impact of Changing Affluence on Diet and Demand Patterns for Agricultural Commodities (1986)

5- Haiou Cai, Income Strata and Meat Demand in Urban China (1998)

1.1 1.75
 6- ERS/USDA Data, International Food Consumption Patterns

.Engle

114

0.73-0.34
 9- Angus Deaton, Economies of Scale, Household Size and the Demand for Food (1998)

%37.67
 %10.28

%73.24

Hong Kong

10- Emi Widjajanti, Food Expenditure Patterns in Urban and Rural Indonesia (1996)

-1987

2002

11- Hamdan, M. R., Impact of the Economic Adjustment Programs on the Food Consumption Patterns of the Poor in Amman (1998)

72	48	455
	31	47
38	23	203
	64	
	424	58
		2475

)	2002	1997	1992	1987
	25955			(

6010	1992	7618	1987	2328
	.2002		1999	1997

1987

12- Hamdan, M. R., Rural Poverty in Jordan (1999)

165 73

101

13- FAO, Impact of Structural Adjustment Program on Food Production, Supply and Consumption in Jordan (1994)

1987

1992-1987

.2002 1997 1992

84	(a, b, b ₁ , b ₂ , b ₃)				Engle's curves
	.(7-1)				
				$Ex_i = F(c)$	Ex_i
				c	x_i
2002	%67			(Louis	
		%72	1987		.Phlips, 1987)
					double-log form
2002	%30	1987	%25		
		%42-%37		$\log Ex_i = a + b \log c$	b
				$.x_i$	
2002- 1987					
		%55			Dummy variables
		%56 %82 %66		:	
				$\log Ex_i = a + b \log c + b_1 d_1 + b_2 d_2 + b_3 d_3$	
				$.x_i$	Ex_i
					c
				0 1987	1= d ₁
				0 1992	1= d ₂
				0 1997	1= d ₃
				Excel	
		1			

.2002 1997 1992 1987

:(1)

(%)	()	(%)	()	(%)	()	()	
42	349	25	211	67	560	832	1987
37	421	26	296	64	716	1128	1992
37	423	32	360	69	783	1138	1997
42	546	30	384	72	930	1289	2002

:

%42

383.3-210

%19

17763.0 -2187.9

(2)

383.3-94.0

-39

17763.0 -2187.9

%.40

%10

%81

383.3-210

%59

17763.0-2187.9

:(2)

%		%		%			
39	116.2	42	124.7	81	240.9	296.7	383- 210
39	173.0	41	180.1	80	353.2	443.0	499.0-383.5
39	217.0	40	218.9	79	435.9	551.0	604.6-499.3
40	264.0	37	247.0	77	511.0	661.1	715.6-604.9
39	309.9	37	288.9	76	598.8	788.3	859.6-715.7
39	365.5	34	319.7	74	686.2	932.6	1011.7-860.0

%		%		%			
40	445.3	34	372.6	74	817.9	10107.7	1212.8-1011.7
39	538.6	31	417.0	70	955.6	1365.2	1545.8-1213.6
40	737.3	28	506.2	68	1243.5	1820.9	2186.1-1546.2
40	1489.3	19	726.3	59	2215.6	3731.8	17763.0-2187.9

210.6
 2002 383.8 1987
 2002
 1987 %182
 %11.36 %21.99 %11.96
 2002 %5.5 % 82
 290 2002
 (2003)
 2002
 %13.68 %10.77
 % 44 %77.47
 %200 %193 %200 %231 2002
 .(3) 1987 %238
 .2002 1997 1992 1987 :(3)

*1987/2002 100%	2002		1997		1992		1987	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
231	11.96	45.9	12.82	46.2	7.78	23.0	9.31	19.6
152	21.99	84.4	26.01	93.8	27.90	82.5	26.31	55.4
200	1.56	6.0	1.86	6.7	1.66	4.9	1.42	3.0
193	11.36	43.6	8.99	32.4	11.60	34.3	10.73	22.6

...

*1987/2002 100%	2002		1997		1992		1987	
	%		%		%		%	
133	6.41	24.6	6.32	22.8	9.13	27.0	8.78	18.5
157	6.49	24.9	6.69	24.1	6.29	18.6	7.55	15.9
186	9.12	35.0	9.02	32.5	8.96	26.5	8.93	18.8
200	1.04	4.0	1.17	4.2	1.05	3.1	0.95	2.0
179	6.02	23.1	5.58	20.1	5.85	17.3	6.13	12.9
143	1.82	14.7	3.83	13.8	3.15	9.3	4.89	10.3
238	9.04	34.7	7.57	27.3	7.64	22.6	6.93	14.6
253	11.20	43.0	10.40	37.5	9.06	26.8	8.07	17.0
182	100	383.8	100	360.5	100	295.7	100	210.6

:

2002

%181 %184 %192 %200 %243

1987

%235 %184

401.1 1987

223.5

%179 2002

2002

%.5.3

1987

%11.52 %22.44 %11.17

.(4

)

2002

:(4)

1987/2002 %100*	2002		1997		1992		1987	
%		%		%		%		
243	11.17	44.8	11.86	45.1	7.23	22.6	8.23	18.4
151	22.44	90.0	26.07	99.1	28.20	88.1	26.62	59.5
200	1.70	6.8	2.00	7.6	1.70	5.3	1.52	3.4
192	11.52	46.2	9.23	35.1	11.62	36.3	10.78	24.1
133	6.63	26.6	6.52	24.8	8.96	28.0	8.95	20.0
154	6.71	26.9	6.92	26.3	6.50	20.3	7.83	17.5
184	8.85	35.5	8.60	32.7	8.83	27.6	8.64	19.3

1987/2002	2002		1997		1992		1987	
%100*	%		%		%		%	
181	0.95	3.8	1.08	4.1	0.96	3.0	0.94	2.1
184	5.78	23.2	5.39	20.5	5.63	17.6	5.64	12.6
147	3.76	15.1	3.68	14.0	2.91	9.1	4.61	10.3
235	9.20	36.9	7.68	29.2	7.84	24.5	7.02	15.7
166	8.53	34.2	8.29	31.5	9.54	29.8	9.22	20.6
179	100	401.1	100	380.2	100	312.4	100	223.5

%7.5

%8.9

.(5

%6.5

)

%8.4

%10

2002

346.5

1987

182.2

2002

1987

%190

.%6

%20.89

%13.91

.2002

%10.94

%233 %197 %226 % 214

2002

1987

%250

:(5)

*1987/2002	2002		1997		1992		1987	
% 100	%		%		%		%	
214	13.91	48.2	16.51	49.7	10.04	24.3	12.35	22.5
156	20.89	72.4	25.78	77.6	26.57	64.3	25.41	46.3
226	1.24	4.3	1.43	4.3	1.41	3.4	1.04	1.9
197	10.94	37.9	8.11	24.4	11.4	27.6	10.54	19.2
134	5.88	20.3	5.58	16.8	9.79	23.7	8.34	15.2
165	5.92	20.5	5.75	17.3	5.33	12.9	6.81	12.4
190	9.78	33.9	10.6	31.9	9.55	23.1	9.77	17.8
233	1.27	4.4	1.5	4.5	1.45	3.5	1.04	1.9
166	6.61	22.9	6.28	18.9	6.82	16.5	7.57	13.8

*1987/2002	2002		1997		1992		1987	
% 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
131	3.98	13.8	4.39	13.2	4.09	9.9	5.76	10.5
250	8.72	30.2	7.11	21.4	6.78	16.4	6.64	12.1
425	10.91	37.8	6.88	20.7	6.86	16.6	4.88	8.9
190	100	346.5	100	301.0	100	242.0	100	182.2

%80

% 90

.(6)

297

356.4

%120

:(6)

%	%		%	
90	13.77	40.9	10.3	36.7
129	23.30	69.2	25.03	89.2
162	1.31	3.9	1.77	6.3
126	10.34	30.7	10.86	38.7
131	6.63	19.7	7.27	25.9
140	5.82	17.3	6.79	24.2
106	9.93	29.5	8.75	31.2
90	1.31	3.9	0.98	3.5
102	6.63	19.7	5.61	20.0
102	4.21	12.5	3.59	12.8
127	7.88	23.4	8.33	29.7
145	8.86	26.3	1.69	38.1
120	100	297.0	100	356.4

R ² F				372 2002 1997																																												
	0.05		(7-1)	279.4		428																																										
	0.80	%1	.0.87	384 1997	360																																											
1987	0.27	.%0.87 %0.8		%22		2002																																										
		2002 0.66			%3.6																																											
0.91			.1.01		.(7) %1.5																																											
					:(7)																																											
					.() 2002 1997																																											
	.(8)																																															
			:(8)																																													
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2002</th> <th>1997</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>428.0</td><td>471.2</td><td>384.8</td></tr> <tr><td>356.6</td><td>375.2</td><td>337.9</td></tr> <tr><td>344.2</td><td>332.8</td><td>355.5</td></tr> <tr><td>355.4</td><td>366.1</td><td>344.7</td></tr> <tr><td>347.7</td><td>368.9</td><td>326.4</td></tr> <tr><td>279.4</td><td>284.3</td><td>274.4</td></tr> <tr><td>335.0</td><td>334.5</td><td>335.4</td></tr> <tr><td>401.9</td><td>400.3</td><td>403.4</td></tr> <tr><td>413.0</td><td>372.0</td><td>453.9</td></tr> <tr><td>350.5</td><td>351.0</td><td>349.9</td></tr> <tr><td>295.9</td><td>293.6</td><td>298.1</td></tr> <tr><td>382.8</td><td>351.8</td><td>413.7</td></tr> <tr><td>372</td><td>384.0</td><td>360.0</td></tr> </tbody> </table>			2002	1997		428.0	471.2	384.8	356.6	375.2	337.9	344.2	332.8	355.5	355.4	366.1	344.7	347.7	368.9	326.4	279.4	284.3	274.4	335.0	334.5	335.4	401.9	400.3	403.4	413.0	372.0	453.9	350.5	351.0	349.9	295.9	293.6	298.1	382.8	351.8	413.7	372	384.0	360.0
2002	1997																																															
428.0	471.2	384.8																																														
356.6	375.2	337.9																																														
344.2	332.8	355.5																																														
355.4	366.1	344.7																																														
347.7	368.9	326.4																																														
279.4	284.3	274.4																																														
335.0	334.5	335.4																																														
401.9	400.3	403.4																																														
413.0	372.0	453.9																																														
350.5	351.0	349.9																																														
295.9	293.6	298.1																																														
382.8	351.8	413.7																																														
372	384.0	360.0																																														
1.01	0.27	0.85	1987																																													
0.91	0.31	0.80	1992																																													
1.0	0.69	0.87	1997																																													
0.99	0.66	0.86	2002																																													

0.34	0.35	0.24	0.32
0.59	0.74	0.56	0.44
0.69	0.70	0.40	0.47
0.19	0.28	0.31	0.54

0.32 2002 0.25
(9) 1997

% 14-10
(.6)

0.78 0.67 2002 .108
0.85

d₂ d₁ d₃

0.19 1987 0.54
(.10) 2002

1.02 0.34 : (9)
% 0.34 %1.02
d₃ d₂ d₁

2002	1997	1992	1987
0.25	0.32	0.26	0.27
0.96	0.81	0.76	0.71
0.60	0.73	0.42	0.42
0.75	0.84	0.65	0.75
0.56	0.65	0.61	0.51
1.08	0.99	0.83	0.74
0.43	0.47	0.45	0.45

0.31

:(10)

2002	1997	1992	1987	
0.44	0.52	0.49	0.47	0.78
0.11-	0.01-	0.1-	0.09-	0.85
0.42	0.51	0.52	0.046	0.67
0.37	0.63	0.65	0.37	0.34
1.05-	1.17-	0.99-	1.03-	0.97
1.15-	1.07-	1.03-	1.02-	0.50
0.83-	0.71-	0.86-	0.75-	0.74
0.55-	0.56-	0.53-	0.5-	0.55
1.79-	1.91-	1.89-	1.91-	1.02
0.04-	0.12	0.14	0.01	0.46
0.89-	0.72-	0.7-	0.75-	0.40
0.57-	0.5-	0.55-	0.56-	0.58
0.77-	0.81-	0.78-	0.83-	0.62
0.09-	0.12	0.04	0.02	0.31

d₃ d₂ d₁

0.65

d₃ d₂ d₁

d₃ d₂ d₁

.0.97

d₁

0.27

d₃ d₂ d₁

d₃ d₂

.(11)

.0.90

0.28

:(11)

2002	1997	1992	1987	
0.29	0.35	0.31	0.29	0.85
0.30-	0.29-	0.36-	0.34-	0.97
0.42	0.56	0.56	0.49	0.65
0.41	0.76	0.77	0.47	0.28
0.62-	0.63-	0.51-	0.56-	0.80
1.23-	1.18-	1.13-	1.14-	0.55
0.89-	0.71-	0.89-	0.78-	0.74
0.72-	0.7-	0.67-	0.68-	0.60
1.44-	1.51-	1.46-	1.53-	0.90
0.09-	0.09	0.07	0.01	0.46
0.70-	0.54-	0.51-	0.62-	0.31
0.92-	0.78-	0.86-	0.87-	0.65
0.88-	0.82-	0.83-	1.00-	0.61
0.03-	0.30	0.25	0.21	0.27

.(12

0.90 0.28
 1.02
 . 0.34

)

0.27

0.31

:(12)

0.78	0.85
0.85	0.97
0.67	0.65
0.34	0.28
0.97	0.80
0.50	0.55
0.74	0.74
0.55	0.60
1.02	0.90
0.46	0.46
0.40	0.31
0.58	0.65
0.62	0.61
0.31	0.27

.2

.3

.4

.5

.1

.6

.7

.11-1 (1)33

/

2002 1997 1992 1987

.2003

.2003

2006

Angus Deaton and Christina Paxson. 1998. Economies of Scale, Household Size and the Demand for Food, *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 106, no. 5.

Emi Widjajanti and Elton Li. 1996. Food Expenditure Patterns in Urban and Rural Indonesia, *Australian Agribusiness Review*, vol. 4, no.2.

ERS/USDA Data. 2003. International Food Consumption Patterns, Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture, USA.

FAO and ESCWA. 1994. Impact of Structural Adjustment Program on Food Production Supply and Consumption in Jordan, FAO.

Garrent James and Lire Ersado. 2003. A Rural-Urban Comparison of Cash and Consumption Expenditure, World Bank.

Gordon Anderson. 1999. Consumption-Income Elasticities of the Poor, Economics Department, University of Toronto.

Haiou Cai, Colin Brown, Guanghua Wana and John Longworth. 1998. Income Strata and Meat Demand in Urban China, *Australasian Agribusiness Review*, Volume 6, 1-10.

Hamdan, M. Rafiq. 1998. Impact of Economic Adjustment Programs on the Food Consumption Patterns of the Poor in Amman, *Dirasat*.

Hamdan, M. Rafiq. 1998. Rural Poverty in Jordan, *Dirasat*.

Helen H. Jensen. 1996. Demand for Food Commodities by Income Groups in Indonesia, Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, Iowa State University.

Illinois World Food and Sustainable Agriculture Program, [http://web.aces.uiuc.edu/fag.pdl project id=2&fagid=369](http://web.aces.uiuc.edu/fag.pdl/project%20id=2&fagid=369).

Jacinto Fabiosa. 2003. Data, International Food Consumption Patterns, Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture, United States. ERS/USDA.

Jacinto Fabiosa, Samarendu Mohanty, Darnell B. Smith and William H. Meyers. 1996. Using Income Classes to Estimate Consumption Parameters for Food Policy Analysis, Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, Iowa State University.

Louis Philips. 1987. Applied Consumption Analysis, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.

Mark Gehlhar and William Coyle. 2000. Global Food Consumption and Impacts on Trade Patterns, Economic Research Service / USDA.

Rajiv Chaudhri and C. Peter Timmer. 1986. The Impact of Changing Affluence on Diet and Demand Patterns for Agricultural Commodities, World Bank Staff Working Papers, World Bank, Number 785.

.1987 : -(1)

F	Adjusted R square	
3267	0.82	$\log x_i = 0.260 + 0.85 \log c$ t (6.230) (57.1600)
122	0.14	$\log x_i = 2.31 + 0.22 \log c$ t (33.548) (11.032)
2216	0.75	$\log x_i = -0.462 + 1.01 \log c$ t (-7.640) (47.072)
104	0.12	$\log x_i = 0.489 + 0.27 \log c$ t (6.701) (10.183)
1580	0.51	$\log x_i = -0.367 + 0.71 \log c$ t (-4.573) (24.930)
85	0.1	$\log x_i = -0.886 + 0.42 \log c$ t (-6.942) (9.218)
494	0.40	$\log x_i = -0.902 + 0.75 \log c$ t (-9.512) (22.225)
96	0.11	$\log x_i = -0.444 + 0.51 \log c$ t (-3.045) (9.818)
515	0.41	$\log x_i = -0.994 + 0.74 \log c$ t (-10.870) (22.701)
427	0.37	$\log x_i = -0.053 + 0.45 \log c$ t (-0.863) (20.671)
64	0.08	$\log x_i = -0.715 + 0.32 \log c$ t (-6.280) (7.992)
106	0.12	$\log x_i = -0.293 + 0.44 \log x c$ t (-2.435) (10.288)
134	0.15	$\log x_i = -0.472 + 0.46 \log c$ t (-4.094) (11.570)
57	0.07	$\log x_i = -0.730 + 0.54 \log c$ t (-3.363) (7.538)

x_i
c

.1992

: -(2)

<u>F</u>	R square adjusted	
7382	<u>0.79</u>	Log $x_i = 0.432 + 0.8 \log c$ T (15.963) (85.920)
<u>441</u>	<u>0.19</u>	Log $x_i = 2.310 + 0.31 \log c$ T (54.038) (20.998)
5519	0.74	Log $x_i = -0.179 + 10.91 \log c$ T (-4.989) (74.287)
279	0.13	Log $x_i = 0.555 + 0.26 \log c$ T (12.447) (16.706)
1528	0.44	Log $x_i = -0.45 + 0.76 \log c$ T (-7.927) (39.093)
186	0.09	Log $x_i = -0.775 + 0.42 \log c$ T (-8.539) (13.620)
948	0.33	Log $x_i = -0.49 + 0.65 \log c$ T (-8.006) (30.786)
397	0.17	Log $x_i = -0.684 + 0.61 \log c$ T (-7.628) (19.919)
1279	0.4	Log $x_i = -1.323 + 0.83 \log x$ T (-19.507) (35.761)
960	0.33	Log $x_i = 0.028 + 0.45 \log c$ T (0.653) (30.982)
74	0.04	Log $x_i = -0.366 + 0.24 \log c$ T (-4.569) (8.575)
424	0.18	Log $x_i = -0.576 + 0.56 \log c$ T (-7.313) (20.602)
245	0.11	Log $x_i = -0.337 + 0.4 \log c$ T (-4.553) (15.65)
46	0.02	Log $x_i = 0.061 + 0.31 \log c$ T (0.44) (6.747)

x_i
c

.1997 : -(3)

F	R square adjusted	
8717	0.81	Log $x_i = 0.246 + 0.87 \log c$ T (8.939) (93.367)
3770	0.65	Log $x_i = 0.434 + 0.69 \log c$ T (12.950) (61.404)
5442	0.73	Log $x_i = -0.462 + 0.9985 \log c$ T (-11.496) (73.772)
347	0.15	Log $x_i = 0.654 + 0.32 \log c$ T (12.774) (18.619)
1766	0.47	Log $x_i = -0.523 + 0.81 \log c$ T (-9.202) (42.024)
359	0.15	Log $x_i = -1.664 + 0.72 \log c$ T (-14.611) (18.948)
858	0.30	Log $x_i = -1.181 + 0.84 \log c$ T (-13.842) (29.299)
485	0.19	Log $x_i = -0.795 + 0.65 \log c$ T (-9.101) (22.012)
1297	0.39	Log $x_i = -1.722 + 0.99 \log c$ T (-21.161) (36.018)
792	0.28	Log $x_i = 0.063 + 0.47 \log c$ T (1.292) (28.142)
118	0.06	Log $x_i = -0.593 + 0.35 \log c$ T (-6.286) (10.883)
610	0.23	Log $x_i = -1.092 + 0.74 \log c$ T (-12.284) (24.695)
717	0.26	Log $x_i = -1.098 + 0.70 \log c$ T (-14.082) (26.782)
25	0.01	Log $x_i = 0.188 + 0.28 \log c$ T (1.126) (4.969)

x_i
c

.2002 : -(4)

F	R square adjusted	
15717	0.83	Log $x_i = 0.295 + 0.86 \log c$ T (14.226) (25.569)
5489	0.64	Log $x_i = 0.520 + 0.66 \log c$ T (14.170) (74.086)
11392	0.78	Log $x_i = -0.366 + 0.99 \log c$ T (-13.057) (106.732)
418	0.12	Log $x_i = 0.857 + 5.25 \log c$ T (23.990) (20.438)
2621	0.45	Log $x_i = -1.110 + 0.96 \log c$ T (-19.649) (51.198)
348	0.1	Log $x_i = -1.357 + 0.6 \log c$ T (-13.874) (18.652)
1491	0.39	Log $x_i = -0.735 + 0.75 \log c$ T (-14.496) (44.625)
414	0.12	Log $x_i = -0.57 + 0.56 \log c$ T (-6.825) (20.352)
2072	0.4	Log $x_i = -2.047 + 1.08 \log c$ T (-28.609) (45.519)
1147	0.27	Log $x_i = 0.16 + 0.43 \log c$ T (4.957) (33.864)
168	0.05	Log $x_i = -0.58 + 0.34 \log c$ T (-7.405) (12.954)
602	0.16	Log $x_i = -0.575 + 0.59 \log c$ T (-7.937) (24.539)
1164	0.27	Log $x_i = -1.048 + 0.69 \log c$ T (-17.111) (34.110)
14	0.004	Log $x_i = 0.515 + 0.19 \log c$ T (3.319) (3.725)

x_i
c

1987 - 2002.

-(5)

F	R square adjusted	
9413	0.83	Log x _i = 0.292 + 0.012d ₁ + 0.028d ₂ + 0.061d ₃ + 0.84logc T (21.802) (2.187) (5.402) 12.280) (184.805)
3915	0.67	Logx _i = 0.44 + 0.06d ₁ + 0.127d ₂ + 0.124d ₃ + 0.65logc T (26.344) (9.508)(19.685)(20.11)(114.532)
6468	0.77	Logx _i = -0.336 - 0.017d ₁ - 0.040d ₂ + 0.03d ₃ + 0.97logc T (-18.174)(-2.438)(-5.678)(4.423)(154.316)
1454	0.43	Logx _i = 0.478 + 0.037d ₁ + 0.332d ₂ + 0.329d ₃ + 0.269logc T (20.430)(4.075)(36.894) (38.049) (33.851)
1696	0.47	Logx _i = -0.70 + 0.045d ₁ + 0.095d ₂ - 0.039d ₃ + 0.834logc T (-22.819)(3.816)(8.014)(-3.421)(79.814)
260	0.12	Logx _i = -1.266 + 0.103d ₁ + 0.108d ₂ + 0.050d ₃ + 0.56logc (-23.646)(5.015)(5.253)(2.541) (30.589)
1269	0.39	Logx _i = -0.866 + 0.102d ₁ - 0.01d ₂ + 0.158d ₃ + 0.74logc T (-25.292)(7.779)(-0.767)(12.50) (63.587)
358	0.15	Logx _i = -0.668 + 0.04d ₁ + 0.04d ₂ + 0.006d ₃ + 0.59logc T (-14.144)(2.252)(2.341)(0.36) (36.85)
1302	0.4	Logx _i = -1.554 - 0.095d ₁ - 0.03d ₂ - 0.086d ₃ + 0.94logc T (-39.672)(-6.304)(-2.064)(-5.974)(70.798)
1190	0.38	Logx _i = -0.043 + 0.086d ₁ + 0.161d ₂ + 0.177d ₃ + 0.49logc T (-1.873)(9.794)(18.297)(20.883) (57.756)
179	0.1	Logx _i = -0.663 + 0.091d ₁ + 0.189d ₂ + 0.172d ₃ + 0.31logc T (-14.874)(5.310)(11.026)(10.438)(20.215)
524	0.21	Logx _i = -0.727 + 0.028d ₁ + 0.057d ₂ + 0.122d ₃ + 0.6logc T (-17.058)(1.69)(3.466) (7.734) (41.296)
634	0.25	Logx _i = -0.756 - 0.108d ₁ + 0.031d ₂ + 0.039d ₃ + 0.58logc T (-19.861)(-7.371)(2.12) (2.751) (44.7)
58	0.03	Logx _i = -0.031 + 0.162d ₁ + 0.192d ₂ + 0.251d ₃ + 0.29logc T (-0.373)(5.122)(6.11) (8.280) (10.38)

d₁, d₂, d₃ are dummy variables.

x_i
c

.2002-1987 : -(6)

F	R square adjusted	
7085	0.83	Logx _i =0.288+0.004d ₁ +0.02d ₂ +0.058d ₃ +0.85logc T (18.328)(0.708)(3.209) (9.760) (162.144)
2879	0.67	Logx _i =0.418+0.071d ₁ +0.138d ₂ +0.138d ₃ +0.65logc (21.095)(9.277)(17.987)(18.557)(99.12)
5007	0.78	Logx _i =-0.3-0.036d ₁ -0.06d ₂ +0.014d ₃ +0.97logc T (-14.09)(-4.324)(-7.315)(1.7884)(137.34)
1216	0.46	Logx _i =0.414+0.057d ₁ +0.355d ₂ +0.35d ₃ +0.28logc T (15.809)(5.656)(34.925)(35.561)(31.93)
1272	0.48	Logx _i =-0.616+0.057d ₁ +0.108d ₂ -0.012d ₃ +0.8logc T (-17.704)(4.213)(7.964)(-0.898)(69.2)
177	0.11	Logx _i =-1.233+0.091d ₁ +0.103d ₂ +0.047d ₃ +0.55logc T (-18.96)(3.625)(4.077)(1.942) (25.547)
946	0.40	Logx _i =-0.885+0.112d ₁ +0.001d ₂ +0.176d ₃ +0.74logc T (-21.962)(7.162)(0.062)(11.641)(55.399)
273	0.16	Logx _i =-0.717+0.041d ₁ +0.051d ₂ +0.016d ₃ +0.6logc T (-12.696)(1.862)(2.333)(0.764)(32.262)
964	0.41	Logx _i =-1.436-0.09d ₁ -0.021d ₂ -0.075d ₃ +0.9logc T (-32.249)(-5.193)(-1.234)(-4.472)(61.1)
872	0.38	Logx _i =-0.093+0.1d ₁ +0.157d ₂ +0.18d ₃ +0.46logc T (-3.427)(9.482)(14.948)(17.604)(51.141)
133	0.09	Logxi =-0.697+0.076d ₁ +0.186d ₂ +0.157d ₃ +0.31logc T (-13.409)(3.769)(9.226)(8.012)(18.141)
444	0.24	Logx _i =-0.922+0.046d ₁ +0.076d ₂ +0.138d ₃ +0.65logc T (-18.317)(2.356)(3.874)(7.294)(38.756)
538	0.28	Logx _i =-0.875-0.116d ₁ +0.048d ₂ +0.062d ₃ +0.60logc (-19.537)(-6.694)(2.755)(3.702)(40.767)
38	0.03	Logx _i =0.031+0.181d ₁ +0.213d ₂ +0.271d ₃ +0.27logc T (0.32) (4.761) (5.656) (7.359) (8.151)

d₁ ,d₂,d₃ are dummy variables.

x_i

c

2002-1987 : -(7)

F	R square adjusted	
2004	0.79	Logx _i =0.436+0.026d ₁ +0.051d ₂ +0.084d ₃ +0.78logc T (15.881)(2.716)(5.467) (9.442) (78.247)
976	0.64	Logxi =0.418+0.042d ₁ +0.099d ₂ +0.086d ₃ +0.67logc T (12.228) (3.552) (8.487) (7.714) (54.409)
1332	0.71	Logx _i =-0.111+0.016d ₁ +0.01d ₂ +0.1d ₃ +0.86logc T (-2.94) (1.261) (0.8) (8.131) (62.678)
334	0.38	Logx _i =0.368-0.001d ₁ +0.278d ₂ +0.256d ₃ +0.34logc T (6.948) (-0.067) (15.34) (14.852) (17.93)
396	0.42	Logx _i =-1.047+0.017d ₁ +0.057d ₂ -0.124d ₃ +0.97logc T (-14.948) (0.722) (2.395) (-5.423) (38.497)
58	0.09	Logx _i =-1.152+0.129d ₁ +0.12d ₂ +0.077d ₃ +0.5logc T (-11.266) (3.667) (3.436) (2.305) (13.457)
291	0.35	Logx _i =-0.83+0.084d ₁ -0.034d ₂ +0.118d ₃ +0.74logc T (-11.554) (3.406) (-1.387) (5.041) (28.409)
72	0.12	Logx _i =-0.551+0.05d ₁ +0.024d ₂ -0.011d ₃ +0.55logc T (-5.792) (1.529) (0.748) (-0.361) (16.166)
281	0.34	Logx _i =-1.787-0.116d ₁ -0.062d ₂ -0.118d ₃ +1.02logc T (-20.154) (-3.819) (-2.065) (-4.078) (31.948)
348	0.40	Logx _i =-0.044+0.054d ₁ +0.178d ₂ +0.16d ₃ +0.46logc T (-0.942) (3.368) (11.214) (10.524) (27.674)
63	0.10	Logx _i =-0.893+0.141d ₁ +0.188d ₂ +0.172d ₃ +0.40logc T (-9.514) (4.365) (5.847) (5.629) (11.916)
119	0.19	Logxi =-0.571+0.006d ₁ +0.023d ₂ +0.067d ₃ +0.58logc T (-6.641) (0.214) (0.77) (2.383) (18.791)
140	0.20	Logx _i =-0.773-0.057d ₁ -0.009d ₂ -0.038d ₃ +0.62logc T (-9.998) (-2.149) (-0.356) (-1.502) (22.041)
18	0.03	Logx _i =-0.088+0.109d ₁ +0.134d ₂ +0.209d ₃ +0.31logc T (-0.535) (1.927) (2.381) (3.904) (5.293)

d₁, d₂, d₃ are dummy variables.

x_i
c

Expenditure Patterns on Food Consumption in Jordan 1987-2002

*Abdul-Fattah Al-Kadi *and Mohammad Rafiq Hamdan **

ABSTRACT

Food expenditures take a large share of the total expenditures of the household in Jordan, where the food expenditures constituted 39.7% of the total consumption expenditures in 2003. Food expenditures are affected by the variables of the available individual income, rural-urban demographic distribution, in addition to social and demographic characteristics of the population.

This study is based on the data of the "Study of Household Income and Expenditures", conducted by the Department of Statistics in 1987, 1992, 1997 and 2002 in Jordan. The study included 25955 households. It included the expenditure patterns on both food and non-food goods .

This research aims to conduct an economic analysis for the food expenditures according to the above mentioned variables, in order to identify the change in the form of expenditures during the period of the study and to determine the correlation between income and food expenditures through identifying the income elasticity of expenditure on food . Descriptive analysis and quantitative analysis have been used.

The study revealed that food expenditures decline as income increases. Households of low income spent 42% of their income on food, while households of higher income spent only 19%. Urban consumers spent more on food than rural ones. The first group spent around JD 356, while the second group spent JD 297.

The income elasticity of food in urban areas is less than that in rural areas. It is in urban areas less than 1; it ranges from a minimum of 0.18 for cereals to a maximum of 0.9 for fruits. The growth rate of the individual income was less than that of the expenditures. The expenditures on cereals, meat and dairy products were the highest compared with other food categories. The expenditures on smoking showed a declining tendency as an indicator for health awareness. Rural consumers expend on cereals and legumes more than urban consumers. The increase in food expenditures led to the increasing in the food gap.

KEYWORDS: Economic analysis, Total expenditures, Individual food expenditures, Income elasticity of food expenditure, Income and demographic variables, Jordan.

* Faculty of Agriculture, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.

Received on 16/5/2007 and Accepted for Publication on 28/4/2008.