

*

%44

18 - 12) (12- 6) (6 -) () (- 18) (

/ (199.6) (141.9) (121.8) (132.8) (163.2)
(%44)

(%44)

:

7.23 6.28
1.72 2.84

25.93
5.97 25.83

8.18 %12

) (2003) (1989)
%18)

%4 2000- 1998 (2003

13.81 1376.34 2002
49.33 15.14 42.24

-1998 1992-1990

2000

2004/12/16

.2005/6/ 1

*

%70- 60

$$A_{21}X_1 + A_{22}X_2 + \dots + A_{214}X_{14} \geq B_2$$

$$A_{31}X_1 + A_{32}X_2 + \dots + A_{314}X_{14} \geq B_3$$

$$A_{41}X_1 + A_{42}X_2 + \dots + A_{414}X_{14} \geq B_4$$

+

(Gallenti, 1997)

$$A_{51}X_1 + A_{52}X_2 + \dots + A_{514}X_{14} \geq B_5$$

$$A_{61}X_1 + A_{62}X_2 + \dots + A_{614}X_{14} \geq B_6$$

(Guevara, 2004)

$$A_{71}X_1 + A_{72}X_2 + \dots + A_{714}X_{14} \geq B_7$$

1987

)

.(1997 1988

$$A_{81}X_1 + A_{82}X_2 + \dots + A_{814}X_{14} \leq B_8$$

$$A_{91}X_1 + A_{92}X_2 + \dots + A_{914}X_{14} \geq B_9$$

$$A_{101}X_1 + A_{102}X_2 + \dots + A_{1014}X_{14} \leq B_{10}$$

(NRC, 1994)

$$X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{14} = B_{11}$$

)

X_i

%44

%44

()

A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{10}

+

:

$$\text{MINcost} = \sum C_i X_i$$

$B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots, B_{11}$

$I = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 14$

+

I

X_i

(1)

(NRC,

$$A_{11}X_1 + A_{12}X_2 + \dots + A_{114}X_{14} \geq B_1$$

.1994)

(18-12) + (2)

(1978)

(9) +

3 2 1 (NRC, 1994)

(6-) :

(1) $Y = a + bX$

(2) Y

(3) A

B

X

170.9) (3)

/ (179.2 166.5 (NRC, 1994)

%9.8 %2 %4.7 .(1978)

6 5 4 (163.2) 7 6 5 4 3

(12 - 6) (12-6) (6-)

(- 18) (18-12)

() ()

133.5) (4)

/ (143.1 141.2

%(7.8 6.4 0.5) (132.7) (8)

(7) -12) (12- 6) (6-)

(18-12)) (- 18) (18

()

(129.5) (5)

(121.8) / 7 6 5 4 3

(8) %6.3

(- 18)

/ (157.3) %44

.%10.8

(6-)

(10) %10

(8)

*

	- 18	18 - 12	12- 6	6-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
48.97	69.36	33.74	7.86	-	
19.97	11.31	47.12	66.13	67.21	
12.69	3.90	1.34	7.32	16.41	%44
-	-	-	-	-	%44
-	-	-	-	-	
-	8.74	14.43	15.07	11.54	
4.58	-	-	0.28	1.27	
9.79	4.28	1.45	1.21	1.21	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
0.14	1.19	0.89	1.08	1.17	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
3.36	0.72	0.54	0.56	0.68	
2900	2850	2850	2800	2800	/
18.26	16.00	14.00	15.00	17.97	%
0.76	0.49	0.42	0.56	0.80	%
0.33	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.28	%
0.67	0.63	0.60	0.62	0.68	% +
3.60	1.80	0.80	0.80	0.90	%
0.28	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.40	%
2.15	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	%
3.05	3.70	4.25	4.47	4.41	%
5.00	2.52	2.67	2.74	2.78	%
199.6	141.9	121.8	132.8	163.2	/

0.01 ± 100

*

(shadow price) (20 10) (12- 6) (6-) (%45 40 30 - 18) (18- 12)

(8) 174.19 141.86 144.51 167.12) / (227.49

22.6 16.3 8.7 2.3) (%13.9

(9)

*

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
23.03	15.79	15.65	16.07	-	18.95	-	19.94	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
55.66	48.28	35.82	23.16	11.77	45.49	20.85	-	
-	17.18	21.86	35.20	60.93	-	43.40	36.17	
7.34	0.26	-	5.73	-	-	-	14.05	%44
-	-	6.84	-	8.50	18.12	19.23	-	%44
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.58	
7.98	15.60	16.94	16.36	15.40	14.25	12.72	9.77	
-	-	-	0.76	-	-	-	3.01	
4.27	1.45	1.25	1.18	1.25	1.34	1.34	1.04	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
1.24	0.93	1.14	1.05	1.10	1.35	1.30	0.93	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
-	-	-	-	0.55	-	0.66	-	
2850	2850	2800	2800	2800	2800	2800	2800	/
16.00	14.00	15.47	15.00	15.13	19.18	18.71	17.00	%
0.58	0.42	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.82	0.80	0.80	%
0.23	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.28	0.28	%
0.58	0.59	0.63	0.61	0.62	0.71	0.71	0.63	% +
1.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.90	0.90	0.90	%
0.35	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	%
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	%
3.46	4.09	4.40	4.31	4.58	4.45	4.65	4.46	%
2.36	2.49	2.58	2.58	2.81	2.62	2.87	2.56	%
157.3	129.5	143.1	141.2	133.5	179.2	166.5	170.9	/

0.01 ±100

*

(%0.89 0.90)

(%0.39 0.40)

%(0.99 1.00)

0.13 0.8 0.03

+

(6 -)
/ 2799 2800
0.04

(%0.79 0.80)

(12)

0.28)

1.1

(competitive prices)

0.87

(%0.27

(10)

*

	- 18	18 - 12	12- 6	6-	
%45	%40	%30	%20	%10	
45	40	30	20	10	
-	-	-	-	-	
24.95	33.81	48.33	27.19	-	
-	-	-	26.67	57.53	
5.31	11.47	1.08	5.27	15.30	%44
-	-	-	-	-	%44
-	-	-	-	-	
-	8.70	17.67	17.27	12.02	
14.51	-	-	0.88	2.08	
8.04	4.26	1.46	1.17	1.15	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
-	1.26	0.95	1.04	1.07	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
1.68	-	-	-	0.34	
2900	2850	2850	2800	2800	/
16.5	16.00	14.00	15.00	17.62	%
0.83	0.68	0.46	0.56	0.80	%
0.40	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.28	%
0.65	0.54	0.57	0.61	0.67	% +
3.60	1.80	0.80	0.80	0.90	%
0.52	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.40	%
2.02	1.27	1.25	1.07	1.00	%
2.48	3.41	4.06	4.30	4.30	%
4.98	2.81	2.85	2.69	2.74	%
227.5	174.2	141.9	144.5	167.2	/

0.01 ± 100

*

:

-1 (121.06) / (124.9)
 / (114.11) /
 (363.43) %44
 / (94.48) /
 -2 (6 -)

(11)

* (Shadow price)

	18	18 - 12	12 - 6	6-	
	0.26	0.04	0.04	0.04	
		0.06	0.06	0.04	
	1.48	0.46	0.45	0.52	1.10
	0.71			0.35	0.87
					+
	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03
	0.14	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08
		0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13
0.06					

(12)

*

	- 18	18- 12	12 - 6	6 -	
143.25	114.24	114.24	110.44	124.90	
128.34	109.72	109.72	106.38	121.06	
-	-	-	-	114.11	
364.39	360.85	360.85	362.39	363.43	%44 >
38.69	85.25	85.25	87.28	94.48	
238.02-	-	-	-	-	
-	384	384	-	-	

-3

-1

-4

-2

-5

(18- 12)

-3

-6

1 42
 .27-15
 1988 1978
 15 .84-59 10 -2 . 1989
 Gallenti.G.1997.The Use of Computer for the Analysis of
 Input Demand in Farm Management: A Multicriteria
 Approach to the Diet Problem. First European
 Conference for Information Technology in
 Agriculture, Copenhagen, 15-18 June 1997. 2003
 23
 Guevara.V.R. 2004. Use of Nonlinear Programming to
 Optimize Performance Response to Energy Density in
 Broiler Feed Formulation. *Poult. Sci. Jour.*, 83:2:147-
 151. 2003
 1987
 National Academy Press. National Research Council. 11 14
 1994. *Nutrient Requirement of Poultry*. 9th Edition,
 Washington.D.C. .112-85
 1997

**Formulating Least Cost Rations for Brown Eggs Layers
 Using Linear Programming Technique**

*Rafh. M .T. Khuleel**

ABSTRACT

This study aims to formulate least cost balanced rations by using linear programming technique for brown eggs layers at different stages of rearing period using feed ingredients available in the Iraqi local market in order to reduce cost of eggs production. The feed ingredients used were local and hybrid corn, first and second class wheat, soybean meal less or higher than 44% crude protein, barley, protein concentrate, wheat bran, limestone, dicalcium phosphate, vitamin mineral mixture, salt, soybean oil. The results indicated that the least cost balanced rations can be obtained by not restricting the level of any of feed ingredients and the rations cost were (163.2, 132.8, 121.8, 141.9, 199.6) U.S.Dollars for the periods (0 – 8, 8 – 12, 12 -18) weeks (18 week – start of production) (production period), respectively. Each of the local and hybrid corn, low protein soybean meal and barley were not used in the optimal rations. Adding constraints and excluding one or both of soybean oil and protein concentrate or including the level of corn caused increasing rations cost. Sensitivity analysis indicated that the constraints of level of lysine and methionine were the most nutrients that increased the cost of rations.

Keywords: brown eggs layers, formulating, least cost rations.

* Department of Animal Resources, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Mosul University, Iraq. Received on 16/12/2004 and Accepted for Publication on /1/2004.