

:(ABCM)

:

*

(ABCM)
ABCM (ABC)

(20)
.SPSS %100
ABC

(ABCM)

(ABCM)

ABCM ABC :

.1

Cooper, Kaplan and Johnson

Activity Based Costing-ABC

2005/11/8

.2006/5/8

*

ABC -2

ABC -3

(ABC)

(ABCM)

ABC :

(ABC)

...

(ABCM)

:

Activity-

-

Based Cost Management System (ABCM)

"

-

...

"

Business

/

-

.(IFAC, 1999: 1)

Process Re-engineering

(ABCM)

(ABC)

(ABCM)

:

-1

(ABCM)

(ABC)

: ABCM

-1

.ABCM

ABC

-2

(Johnson and Kaplan, 1987: (Turney, 1992: 21)

.30)

:

-

-

-

(Horngren et al., 2003)

:

-

/
/
/

.(Copper, 1990: 37)

:(HO1)

ABC

:(HO2)

-

-

.(494 :1992)

:

ABC

:HO₁

ABC

:HO₂

(Non Value Added)

.(Radhaskrishnan and Srinidhi, 1997)

ABC

:HO₃

ABC

:(HO3)

...

.(ABCM)

.2

(Blucher et al., : (Johndon and Kaplan, 1987)
 .2002: 98-99), (Kaplan and Atkinson, 1998: 162-165)
 -1
 -2 ABC
 -3
 Chris Richards
 ABC
 (Brewer et al., 2003: (Moriarity, 1975) (Shubik, 1962) (Bekett, 1951)
 .51) (Dopuch, 1981) (Loaderback, 1976)
 %25 %75 (Kaplan, 1977) (Zimmerman, 1979)
 (Positive Approach)
 (Normative Approach)
) - ABC
 .(8 :1998
 (Drury, 1992), (Merz and Hardy, 1993)
 (Cooper and Slagmulde, 1998) .
 (Lakshmi and Rao, 2001)
 (Mores et al., 2003) . (Cooper and Kaplan, 1992: 286)
 .(2003)
 ABCM . (Baxendale, 2001:5-9)
 ABC *
 :
 :Value Chain .1
 Competitors
 ABC
 (Cooper and Kaplan, : *
 1992), (Harold and Borthick, 1991:39), (Chutuchian, 1999),
 .(Drury, 2001)

ABC

TQM

.(Partridge and Perren, 1993)

(V. and N.V.A.)

Cost Drivers

"

"

Product

Target Costing

(Mores et al., 2003)

.Cost Planning

Cost Drivers

-

"

1996

IMA

.(491 :1992)

Value Chain Analysis "

.for Assessing Competitive Advantage

:ABC

.2

)

.(Norkiewies, 1994)

ABC

Competitive Advantage

.(ABCM)

.3

:Analysis

-

Cost Leadership

-

Differentiation

(Partridge and Perren, 1994)

ABC

ABC

.Generation

ABC

(ABC)

(Shannon, (Mecimore and Bell, 1995)

.2002)

ABC
-2
-3
(Cokins, 1999)

()

(Turey,1992),
(Hartneth and Lowery,1994), (Mecimore and Bell,1995)

...

ABC

ABC

ABC
(Activity-Based Management (ABM))

ABC (Berliner and Brimson, 1988) (ABCM)

(Campi, 1992: 6-11), (Cooper and Kaplan, 1992)

(Kaplan, 1992)

ABC

1950

1980

(James Tarr, 2001)

TQM

FMS

JIT, TOC, TA,)
(BPR, CI, TQM, BSC, Benchmarking, ABC

BPR

NVA


(Campi, 1992: 6)

ABM

:

-1


(1)



(1)

Source: (Emblemsvage, 2000).

(2)




الشكل رقم (2)

.ABCM

(3)

و



Source : www.kirtanepandit consulting.com www.horwood.com . ()

(3)

ABC

.(Swenson, 1995)

:

-1

ABC

-2

(Thorne and Bruce, 1995)

.(Swenson, 1997)

(Gammell and Mcnair,

(Turney and Alan, 1992)

* (Burch, 1994)

1994)

(ABM)

ABC

()

(Burch, 1994), (Gammell, 1994)

.(Turny, 1996: 139)

: *

(Brewer et al., 2003), (Donald, 2004), (Cokins, 2001), (Cokins, 1996).

ABM

(Sweeney and Booth, 1994: 64)
Mays, 1997: 20-22)

(Campi, 1992:5)
(ABM)

(Horgren et al., 2000: 1048), (Blucher et al., 2002: 104)

(Catry and .Giuseppe/ www.bham.ac.uk)

ABC

ABC

Value and Non Value

.Added

(Ashton et al., 1995:162)

(Kenneth, 2000)
ABC

-
- ...
-
-

(Cokins, .1999: 29-35)

.(Hilton, 1997:262), (Pemberton et al., 1996:20-27)
ABC-

(%51) TECHNOLOGIES

-

Value

ABC

.(Nair, 2000)and Non Value Added

.

ABM

ABC
Processes

Business Process Re-engineering (BPR)

.ABC

Production

(Smith, 1998:

.26), (Sweeney and May, 1997: 20-22)

.(ABCM)

ABC

.(Hilton, 1997:267)

*Target Cost :

Benchmarking

*

:

()

ABM

ABC

(Gary Cokins, 1996:55)

:

.(Lakshim and Rao, 2001)

ABCM

(2)

.(Turney, 1996)

()

ABM

()

ABCM

ABC

ABC

(ABC)

(ABM)

(Kaplan and Norton, 1996:

.288)

-ABM

(3)

.ABCM

ABCM

(3)

(Coopr and Salgmulder, 1999, 2000)

:

:

:

(Swenson,

.1995)

ABCM

(Cokins, 2001)

...

23

20
3

-1

-2

-1

-3

-2

-4

28

28

*

.3

28

SPSS

5	
4	
3	
2	
1	

*

-1

:One Sample T-test

-2

-3

2.49-0	
3.49-2.5	
5-3.5	

.05

(1)

%				
0.39	1.33	1.96		1
0.33	1.29	1.64		2
0.60	0.92	2.98		3
0.33	1.37	1.64		4
0.58	0.97	2.92		5
0.49	1.09	2.45		6
0.84	1.39	4.22		7
0.50	0.92	2.52		8
0.86	1.41	4.29		9
0.89	1.31	4.44		10
0.50	0.91	2.50		11
0.59	0.93	2.97		12
0.73	1.39	3.65		13
0.78	0.90	3.92		14
0.59	0.91	2.96		15
0.85	0.86	4.24		16
0.78	0.89	3.91	.A B C	17
0.58	1.38	2.91		18
0.79	1.31	3.94		19
0.78	0.94	3.88		20

%				
0.84	1.24	4.21		21
0.58	1.41	2.89		22
0.70	1.38	3.52		23
0.56	0.92	2.78		24
0.56	1.07	2.79		25
0.70	0.90	3.52		26
0.74	0.91	3.72		27
0.87	1.29	4.36		28
0.66	0.54	3.28		

(28) ()
 0.871= α ()
 .%60

(4.36)

.(%87) (1.29)

:HO1

(1)

(9)

(1)

(13)

(3.65)

.(%73) (1.39)

(10)

(1.31)

(4.44)

.(%89)

(4.29)

.(%86)

(1.41)

(16)

(4.24)

(%85)

(0.86)

:HO2

-

ABC

Pearson

Correlation Coefficient

(3)

.ABC

(3)

(10 3 1)

(ABC)

(1)

(1.75)

(1.79)

(10)

(1)

(%35)

(%36)

(0.69)

(0.96)

(1.01)

(4.03)

(3)

.(%81)

(0.54)

(3.28)

(9)

(%66)

ABC

: One Sample T-test

(2)

	SIG T	T	T
	0.000	2.001	4.02

(4.02 = T)

(1.05)

(1.94)

:

.(%39)

T

T

(Ho)

(1)

(4)

T

(Ho)

(1.64)

(Ho1)

T

.(%33)

(1.37)

:

(3)

ABC

%				
0.36	0.96	1.79	A B C	1
0.82	0.78	4.10	ABC	2
0.81	1.01	4.03	A B C	3
0.70	0.87	3.50	A B C	4
0.43	0.93	2.14		5
0.63	1.01	3.18	A B C / %100	6
0.71	0.99	3.57	A B C	7
0.56	1.13	2.82	() A B C	8
0.39	1.05	1.94		9
0.35	0.69	1.75		10
0.65	0.72	3.22	A B C	11
0.48	1.01	2.37	ABC	12
0.88	1.08	4.40	ABC	13
0.87	1.11	4.32	ABC	14
0.71	1.31	3.55	ABC	15
0.71	1.04	3.53		16
0.78	0.93	3.87	A B C	17
0.64	1.01	3.21	.ABC	18
0.84	1.04	4.22	A B C	19

%				
0.75	1.06	3.73	ABC	20
0.71	1.22	3.53		21
0.76	1.32	3.78	ABC	22
0.62	1.18	3.11		23
0.72	0.93	3.63	ABC	24
0.59	0.65	2.94	ABC	25
0.82	0.77	4.11	A B C	26
0.82	1.12	4.08	ABC	27
0.85	1.14	4.23	ABC	28
0.77	0.98	3.38		

(24 16) (%71) (13) ABC (3)

(ABC)

-

-

(28) (%88) (1.08) (4.40)

(14)

(1) (28) (1.11) (4.32) (%87)

(15)

ABC

(4.23)

(%85) (1.14)

:

(1.31) (3.55)

(4)

ABC

*				ABC	
*0.001	0.71	0.93	3.82	0.86	3.68

(5)

ABC

*				ABC	
*0.011	0.70	0.89	3.64	0.86	3.68

(6)

ABC

*				ABC	
*0.025	0.65	1.10	4.36	0.86	3.68

ABC

:HO2-1

ABC

(4)

ABC

4 2)

(3)

(14 12 11 8 7 6 5

ABC

ABC

(27 15)

(4)

ABC

(0.71)

(0.05)

ABC

-
 (1)
 - (3) - (7 2)
 (3.57) (4.10)
:HO2-3
 ABC (0.99) (0.78)
 (%71) (%82)
 (6) ABC
 ABC (Ho2-1)
 4 2) ABC
 (3) (14 12 11 8 7 6 5)
 ABC
 (14 13) **:HO2-2**
 ABC
 .ABC
 (6) ABC (5)
 ABC
 ABC
 (0.65) 2)
 (0.05) (3) (14 12 11 8 7 6 5 4)
 ABC
 (26 6)
 (5)
 ABC
 ABC (0.70)
 ABC (0.05)
 ABC
 (Ho2-3)
 ABC
 ABC
 ABC
 ABC (Ho2-2)
 ABC

(19 16 15 10 9 8 7) .(%82) (0.96)

ABC

ABC

(Ho2)

ABC

(ABCM)

ABC

:HO3

(1.10-0.70) (4.30-3.71)

.(%86-%74)

.(ABCM)

(26 25 24 23 22 17-13)

(7)

ABC

ABC

(7)

ABC

(21 6 5 4 3 1)

(4.43-3.5)

(1.09-0.74)

(%89-%70)

(4.38-3.70)

(1.28-0.61)

.(%0.87-%74)

(11)

ABC

ABC

(2)

(1.08)

.(18 12)

(3.70)

.(%74)

(4.08)

(7)

ABC

%				
0.89	0.83	4.47	ABC	1
0.82	0.96	4.08	ABC	2
0.71	1.10	3.53	ABC	3
0.71	0.78	4.37	ABC	4
0.82	0.74	4.08		5
0.80	0.90	4.00	ABC	6
0.74	1.09	3.72	/	7
0.78	1.11	3.92	/	8
0.83	0.71	4.15		9
0.78	0.98	3.92		10
0.74	1.08	3.70		11
0.87	0.78	4.35		12
0.82	0.79	4.08		13
0.84	0.83	4.22	-	14
0.86	0.74	4.30		15
0.61	0.97	3.03	ABCM	16
0.75	1.12	3.73		17
0.82	0.67	4.12		18
0.82	0.90	4.10		19
0.75	0.94	3.78		20

%				
0.89	0.68	4.47	ABC	21
0.75	1.28	3.75		22
0.74	1.05	3.70		23
0.87	0.61	4.38		24
0.79	1.10	3.90		25
0.78	0.99	3.88		26
0.69	1.27	3.45		27
0.80	1.10	3.98		28
0.79	0.51	3.97		

T (Ho3) ABC : (0.51) (3.97) (%79)
 T T (Ho) ABC (7)
 : One Sample T-test

(8)

(Kolmogorov - Smirnov)

	SIG. T	T	T
	0.000	2.001	7.52

(9) %5 Sig. (8) (7.52 = T)
 SIG. (Ho) :

(9)

(ABC)

:
(ABC) (

SIG.	
0.561	
0.542	
0.423	

.4

(3 1)

(ABC)
. (3) :
(

:

-1

. (3) (20)

(
(28)

. (3) (1)

(0.7) (0.71)

-2

(5) (4)

. (3) (19)

(ABC)

ABCM

-5

ABC

(V. &

N.V.A)

(BPR)

-

-3

- (3)

(%82) (%71) (26 7)

(ABC)

. (3) (%82)

-6

- (7)

(%79) (25)

ABCM

ABM

ABC

.ABC

(ABC)

-4

		-2		Benchmarking	
			ABM ABC		-7
	ABC			ABCM	
		-3			
				(7)	-8
		-4			
			:	(ABCM)	
Benchmarking			-		-
			-		-
				ABCM	-9
ABCM		-5		(Balanced Scorecard)	
				(7)	(11)
		-6		ABC	-10
	ABCM		(ABCM)		
	:		JIT		
		-			
	(BPR)				TQM FM
ABC					:
					-1
(N.V.A.)		-	ABC		
			-		
	(V.A.)				
		-			
		-			
		-			

ABCM ABM ABC

-8

-7

An Effective ABC System, McGraw-Hill.

Cokins, G. 2001. *Activity-Based Cost Management: An Executive Guide*, John Wiley Sons.

Cooper, R. and Kaplan, R. 1999. *The Design of Cost Management Systems*, Prentice Hall, 2nd ed.

Drury, Coline. 1992. *Cost and Management Accounting*, Chapman and Hall.

Drury, Coline. 2001. *Management Accounting for Business Decision*, Thomson Learning, 2nd ed.

Hilton, Ronald, W. 1997. *Managerial Accounting*, McGraw Hill, 3rd ed.

Hornngren, C.T., Foster, G. and Datar, S.M. 2003. *Cost Accounting: A Managerial Emphasis*, Prentice-Hall.

Hornngren, Chareles T. 1993. *Introduction to Management Accounting*, Prentice Hall, 9th ed., International Edition, New Jersey.

Turney, P. 1996. *Commencent The ABC Performance Breakthrough*, London: The Macmillan Press LTD.

Periodicals:

Baxendal, Sidney, J. 2001. Activity-Based Costing for Small Business: A Primer, *Business Horizon One*.

Beckett, J. 1951. A Study of the Principles of Allocating Costs, *Accounting Review*, (56): 85-96.

Berliner, C. and Brimson, J.A. eds. 1988. *Cost Management for Today's Advanced Manufacturing: The CAM-I Conceptual Design*, Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Booth, Rupert. 1994. Clubbing Together: Benchmarking for Mutual Gain, *Management Accounting*, Nov., 35-36.

Campi, J.P. 1992. It's Not As Easy As ABC, *Journal of Cost Management*, 5-11.

2000
1993

1998

1992

.505-487 2 16
2003

.96-61 2 27

Books:

Ashton, David, Trevor Hopper and Rosert, W. Scapens. 1995. *Issues in Management Accounting*, Prentice Hall, 2nd ed.

Atkinson, A., Banker, R., Kaplan, R. and Young, M. 2001. *Management Accounting*, Prentice Hall, Newjersey, 3rd ed.

Atkinson, A. and Kaplan, R. 1998. *Advanced Management Accounting*, Prentice Hall, 3rd ed.

Blucher, J., Chen, K. and Thomas, W. 2002. *Cost Management A Strategic Emphasis*, McGraw Hill, 2nd ed.

Burch, John, G. 1994. *Cost and Management Accounting Approach*, West Publishing Company.

Cokins, G. 1996. *Activity-Based Cost Management Making It Work: A Manager's Guide To Implementing and Sustaining*

- Lakshmi, U. Tatikonda and Tatikonda, Roa J. 2001. Activity Based Costing for Higher Education Institutions, *Management Accounting*.
- Louderback, J. G. 1976. Activity Approach to Allocating, Joint Costs: A Comment, *Accounting Review*, 683-685.
- Mecimore, D. and Bell, T. 1995. Are We Ready for Fourth Generation ABC? *Management Accounting*, 22-26.
- Merz, C. and Hardy, A. 1993. ABC Puts Accountants on Design Team at HP, *Management Accounting (US)*, 22-25.
- Moriarity, S. 1975. Another Approach to Allocating Joint Costs, *Accounting Review*, 49: 791-795.
- Nair, M. 2000. Activity-Based Costing: Whose Using It And Why, *Journal of Management Accounting, Quarterly*, 29-33.
- Norkewicz, A. 1994. Nine Steps to Implementing ABC, *Management Accounting*, 28-33.
- Partridge, M. and Perren, L. 1993. Achieving Competitive Advantage, *Management Accounting*, London, 71 (10): 13-17.
- Pemberton, Neal et al. 1996. ABM at Dayton Technologies, from Obstacles to Opportunities, *Management Accounting*, 20-27.
- Radharkrishnan, S. and Srinidhi, B. 1997. Avoiding the Death Spiral: A Case for Activity-Based Costing, *Journal of Cost Management*, 10 (4): 19-22.
- Selto, Frank, H. 1995. Implementing Activity Based Management, *Journal of Cost Management*, 9 (2): 36-50.
- Shubik, M. 1962. Incentives Decentralized Control and the Assignment of Joint Costs and Internal Pricing, *Management Science*, (8): 469-479.
- Smith, Malcom. 1998. Innovation and The Great ABM Trade-Off, *Management Accounting*, 24-26.
- Swenson, D. 1997. Best Practices in Activity-Based Management, *Journal of Cost Management*, 11 (6): 6-14.
- Swenson, Dan, 1995. The Benefits of Activity-Based Cost Management To The Manufacturing Industry, *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, Fall, 7: 167-181.
- Thorne, H. and Gurd, B. 1999. Activity-Based Costing: Improved Product Costing or Activity Management?, *Advanced in Management Accounting*, 8: 173-194.
- Turney, P. 1992. Activity-Based Management, *Management Accounting*, 20-25.
- Turney, P. and Alan, S.J. 1992. Using ABC to Support Continuous Improvement, *Management Accounting*, 46-50.
- Zimmerman, J. 1979. The Costs and Benefits of Cost Allocations, *Accounting Review*, 504-521.
- Chutchian, Ferranti Joyce. 1999. *Activity-Based Costing*, Computer World.
- Cokins, G. 1999. Using ABC To Become ABM, *Journal of Cost Management*, 29-35.
- Cooper, R. and Kaplan, R. 1992. Activity-Based System: Measuring the Cost of Resource Usage, *Accounting Horizon*.
- Cooper and Slagmulder, R. 1999. Designing ABC System for Strategic Costing and Operational Improvement, *Strategic Finance*, 18-20.
- Cooper and Slagmulder, R. 1999. Activity-Based Cost Management System Architecture-Part II, *Strategic Finance*, 69-70.
- Cooper, R. and Slagmulder, R. 2000. ABCM System Architecture-part III, *Strategic Finance*, 63-64.
- Cooper, R. and Slagmulder, R. 1998. Strategic Cost Management, *Management Accounting*, London, 14-16.
- Cooper, R. 1990. Implementing An Activity Based Cost System, *Journal of Cost Management*, 33-42.
- Dopuch, N. 1981. Some Prospectives on Cost Allocation in Moriarity, S. ed., *Joint Cost Allocation*, University of Oklahoma Press.
- Gammell, F. and Mcnair, C. J. 1994. Jumping the Growth Through Activitiy-Based Cost Management, *Management Accounting*, 37-47.
- Harold, Roth P. and Barthich, A. Faye. 1991. Are You Distorting Cost by Violating ABC Assumption? *Management Accounting*.
- Hartnet, N. and Lowry, J. 1994. From ABC to ABM, *Australian Accounting*.
- Kaplan, R. 1977. Application of Quantitative Model in Managerial Accounting, *A State of Art Survey in Management Accounting*, State of the Art-University of Wisconsin Press, 25: 39-71.
- Kaplan, R. and Norton, D. 1996. *The Balanced Scorecard Translating Strategy Into Action*, HBS Press, 6-7.
- Kaplan, R. and Cooper, R. 1999. *Cost and Effect: Using Integrated Cost System to Derive Profitability and Performance*, Harvard Business Press, 100-101.
- Kaplan, R. 1992. In Defense of Activity-Based Cost Management, *Management Accounting(US)*, 58-63.
- Kenneth, L. Thurston. 2000. Providing Strategic Activity Cost Information: Cost For Pricing at Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida, *Management Accounting*.

Websites:

- Donald, K., Tham. 2004. An Ontology for Activity-Based Cost Management, *www.eilutornto.ca/tove/cost1/cost.hotmail*. Ch.9, Paragraph: 17.
- Shannon, W. Anderson. 2002. A Framework for Assessing Cost Management System Changes: The Case of Activity-Based Costing Implementation at General Motors 1986-1993, <http://ndl.handle.net>.
www.bham.ac.uk.
www.emblemsvag.com/historic.htm.
www.harwood.com.
www.kritanepanditconsulting.com.

Issues:

- Brewer Peter, C., Juras, Paul, E. and Richard Brownlee, E. 2003. Global Electronics, Inc.: ABC Implementation and the Change Management Process, *Issues in Accounting Education*, 18 (1): 49-69.
- Brewer, Peter, C. et al. 2003. Global Electronics, Inc.: Implementation and the Change Management Press, *Issues in Accounting Education*, 18 (1): 49-69.
- International Federation of Accounting (IFAC). 1999. Target Costing for Effective Cost Management Product Cost Planning at Toyota Australia, Study 10.
- James, D. Tarr. 2001. Activity Based Management, Merging Process and Measurement, *by ACA Group*.

Implementing New Knowledge: Activity-Based Cost Management (ABCM) System: An Empirical Study in Jordanian Industrial Sector

*Suliaman H. Al-Beshtawi**

ABSTRACT

This research aims at studying the philosophy of Activity Based Cost Management (ABCM) and showing how modern management depends with its modern strategy concept on Activity Based Costing (ABC) to apply the (ABCM) system which works on developing and improving activities through depending on financial and non-financial information. This in turn enhances the power of companies to keep up with the current century and so to overcome the fierce competition around in order to stay in the market and to keep its profits and to increase them.

The research has included an empirical study that was applied to Jordanian industrial sector which contained big Jordanian industrial companies registered in Amman Stock Market where the sample has reached (20) companies. To achieve the objectives of the research, a questionnaire has been sent, coming back with 100% where an SPSS program has been used for the analysis.

The most important result in this research is that (ABC) system can define productive costs beside providing financial and non-financial information which is used for analyzing the activities in order to apply Activity Based Cost Management (ABCM) activities that play a very important role in the success of the company.

The research highlighted the importance of many aspects such as: the necessity of adopting technological and modern management systems, to apply the (ABCM) system in Jordanian industrial companies, because it affects making decisions, the thing that works on developing the company system and its operations to overcome the fierce competition in markets.

Keywords: ABC System, ABCM System, Financial and Non Financial Information, Development and Improvement, Current Environmental Criteria.

* Accounting Department, Aal al-Bayt University, Jordan. Received on 8/11/2005 and Accepted for Publication on 8/5/2006.